

# SAMPLE BALLOT

**A**

## OFFICIAL BALLOT

General Election  
**Orange County**  
November 5, 2002

This ballot stub shall be removed  
and retained by the voter.

### STATE

Governor		Vote for One
BILL SIMON, Republican Businessman/Charity Director		+
REINHOLD GULKE, American Independent Electrical Contractor/Farmer		+
GRAY DAVIS, Democratic Governor of the State of California		+
IRIS ADAM, Natural Law Business Analyst		+
PETER MIGUEL CAMEJO, Green Financial Investment Advisor		+
GARY DAVID COPELAND, Libertarian Chief Executive Officer		+
		+
Lieutenant Governor		Vote for One
BRUCE MC PHERSON, Republican California State Senator		+
KALEE PRZYBYLAK, Natural Law Public Relations Director		+
CRUZ M. BUSTAMANTE, Democratic Lieutenant Governor		+
JIM KING, American Independent Real Estate Broker		+
DONNA J. WARREN, Green Certified Financial Manager		+
PAT WRIGHT, Libertarian Ferret Legalization Coordinator		+
PAUL JERRY HANNOSH, Reform Educator/Businessman		+
		+
Secretary of State		Vote for One
LOUISE MARIE ALLISON, Natural Law Teacher/Administrator		+
KEITH OLBERG, Republican Businessman		+
KEVIN SHELLEY, Democratic State Lawmaker		+
VALLI SHARPE-GEISLER, Reform Educator/Technology Coordinator		+
LARRY SHOUP, Green Author/Historian		+
GAIL K. LIGHTFOOT, Libertarian Retired Registered Nurse		+
EDWARD C. NOONAN, American Independent Businessman		+
		+

30-001A

TURN CARD OVER

**A**

I HAVE VOTED—HAVE YOU?

### STATE

Controller		Vote for One
ERNEST F. VANCE, American Independent Retired Administrator		+
J. CARLOS AGUIRRE, Natural Law Entrepreneur/Businessman		+
STEVE WESTLY, Democratic Businessman/Educator		+
LAURA WELLS, Green Financial Systems Consultant		+
TOM MCCLINTOCK, Republican California State Senator		+
		+
Treasurer		Vote for One
JEANNE-MARIE ROSENMEIER, Green Certified Public Accountant		+
SYLVIA VALENTINE, Natural Law Corporation Office Administrator		+
NATHAN E. JOHNSON, American Independent Transit Operator		+
PHIL ANGELIDES, Democratic Treasurer of the State of California		+
GREG CONLON, Republican Businessman/CPA		+
MARIAN SMITHSON, Libertarian West Covina City Treasurer		+
		+
Attorney General		Vote for One
ED KUWATCH, Libertarian Criminal Defense Attorney		+
DICK ACKERMAN, Republican State Senator/Attorney		+
DIANE BEALL TEMPLIN, American Independent Attorney/Businesswoman		+
BILL LOCKYER, Democratic California Attorney General		+
GLEN FREEMAN MOWRER, Green Public Defense Attorney		+
		+

30-002A

TURN CARD OVER

**A**

# SAMPLE BALLOT

**B**

## OFFICIAL BALLOT

General Election  
**Orange County**  
November 5, 2002

This ballot stub shall be removed  
and retained by the voter.

### STATE

**Insurance Commissioner** **Vote for One**

<b>DAVID I. SHEIDLLOWER</b> , Green Financial Services Executive	+
<b>GARY MENDOZA</b> , Republican Businessman	+
<b>JOHN GARAMENDI</b> , Democratic Rancher	+
<b>STEVE KLEIN</b> , American Independent Businessman	+
<b>RAUL CALDERON, JR.</b> , Natural Law Health Researcher/Educator	+
<b>DALE F. OGDEN</b> , Libertarian Insurance Consultant/Actuary	+
	+

**Member, State Board of Equalization**  
**3rd District** **Vote for One**

<b>MARY CHRISTIAN-HEISING</b> , Democratic Businesswoman/Educator/Journalist	+
<b>CLAUDE PARRISH</b> , Republican Member, State Board of Equalization	+
<b>J.R. GRAHAM</b> , Libertarian Author/Security Officer	+
	+

### UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE

**40th District** **Vote for One**

<b>CHARLES R. (CHUCK) MCGLAWN</b> , Libertarian Retired Business Owner	+
<b>CHRISTINA AVALOS</b> , Democratic Mental Health Consultant	+
<b>ED ROYCE</b> , Republican United States Representative	+
	+

I HAVE VOTED—HAVE YOU?

### MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY

**72nd District** **Vote for One**

<b>G. NANJUNDAPPA</b> , Democratic University Professor	+
<b>LYNN DAUCHER</b> , Republican Assemblywoman, 72nd District	+
<b>BRIAN LEE CROSS</b> , Libertarian Contractor	+
	+

### JUDICIAL

**Vote Yes or No  
for Each Office**

**For Associate Justice of the Supreme Court**

Shall Associate Justice <b>CARLOS R. MORENO</b> be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	Yes	+
	No	+

**For Associate Justice of the Supreme Court**

Shall Associate Justice <b>MARVIN R. BAXTER</b> be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	Yes	+
	No	+

**For Associate Justice of the Supreme Court**

Shall Associate Justice <b>KATHRYN M. WERDEGAR</b> be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	Yes	+
	No	+

**For Associate Justice, Court of Appeal,  
4th Appellate District, Division One**

Shall Associate Justice <b>ALEX C. MCDONALD</b> be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	Yes	+
	No	+

**For Associate Justice, Court of Appeal,  
4th Appellate District, Division One**

Shall Associate Justice <b>JUDITH MCCONNELL</b> be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	Yes	+
	No	+

**For Associate Justice, Court of Appeal,  
4th Appellate District, Division One**

Shall Associate Justice <b>JAMES A. MCINTYRE</b> be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	Yes	+
	No	+

30-013B

TURN CARD OVER

**B**

30-004B

TURN CARD OVER

**B**

# SAMPLE BALLOT

**C**

## OFFICIAL BALLOT

General Election  
**Orange County**  
November 5, 2002

This ballot stub shall be removed  
and retained by the voter.

JUDICIAL		Vote Yes or No for Each Office	
<b>For Associate Justice, Court of Appeal, 4th Appellate District, Division One</b>			
Shall Associate Justice <b>GILBERT NARES</b> be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	Yes	+	
	No	+	
<b>For Associate Justice, Court of Appeal, 4th Appellate District, Division One</b>			
Shall Associate Justice <b>TERRY B. O'ROURKE</b> be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	Yes	+	
	No	+	
<b>For Associate Justice, Court of Appeal, 4th Appellate District, Division Two</b>			
Shall Associate Justice <b>THOMAS E. HOLLENHORST</b> be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	Yes	+	
	No	+	
<b>For Associate Justice, Court of Appeal, 4th Appellate District, Division Three</b>			
Shall Associate Justice <b>EILEEN C. MOORE</b> be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	Yes	+	
	No	+	
<b>For Associate Justice, Court of Appeal, 4th Appellate District, Division Three</b>			
Shall Associate Justice <b>RICHARD M. ARONSON</b> be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	Yes	+	
	No	+	
<b>For Associate Justice, Court of Appeal, 4th Appellate District, Division Three</b>			
Shall Associate Justice <b>KATHLEEN E. O'LEARY</b> be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	Yes	+	
	No	+	
<b>For Associate Justice, Court of Appeal, 4th Appellate District, Division Three</b>			
Shall Associate Justice <b>RICHARD D. FYBEL</b> be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	Yes	+	
	No	+	
<b>For Associate Justice, Court of Appeal, 4th Appellate District, Division Three</b>			
Shall Associate Justice <b>WILLIAM F. RYLAARSDAM</b> be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	Yes	+	
	No	+	

30-005C

TURN CARD OVER

**C**

I HAVE VOTED—HAVE YOU?

JUDICIAL	
<b>Judge of the Superior Court Office No. 21</b>	
Vote for One	
<b>GAY SANDOVAL</b> Trial Lawyer	+
<b>JOHN ADAMS</b> Civil Attorney	+
	+
<b>Judge of the Superior Court Office No. 22</b>	
Vote for One	
<b>VICKIE ANN BRIDGMAN</b> Deputy District Attorney	+
<b>KELLY ANN MACEACHERN</b> Deputy District Attorney	+
	+
<b>Judge of the Superior Court Office No. 27</b>	
Vote for One	
<b>GLENDIA SANDERS</b> Trial Attorney/Professor	+
<b>DAVID BRENT</b> Attorney	+
	+
<b>COUNTY OF ORANGE</b>	
<b>County Clerk/Recorder</b>	
Vote for One	
<b>TOM DALY</b> Mayor/Businessman	+
<b>BRUCE PEOTTER</b> Recording Document Executive	+
	+
<b>Public Administrator</b>	
Vote for One	
<b>VICKI LANDRUS</b> Assistant Public Administrator	+
<b>JOHN S. WILLIAMS</b> Trustee, South Orange County Community College District	+
	+

30-006C

TURN CARD OVER

**C**

# SAMPLE BALLOT

**D**

## OFFICIAL BALLOT

General Election  
**Orange County**  
November 5, 2002

This ballot stub shall be removed  
and retained by the voter.

### SCHOOL

Superintendent of Public Instruction	Vote for One
<b>KATHERINE H. SMITH</b> Governing Board Member, Anaheim Union High School District	+
<b>JACK O'CONNELL</b> State Senator/Teacher	+
	+

### MEASURES SUBMITTED TO THE VOTERS

#### STATE

<b>46</b>	<b>HOUSING AND EMERGENCY SHELTER TRUST FUND ACT OF 2002.</b> This act provides for the Housing and Emergency Shelter Trust Fund Act of 2002. For the purpose of providing shelters for battered women, clean and safe housing for low-income senior citizens, emergency shelters for homeless families with children, housing with social services for the homeless and mentally ill, repairs and accessibility improvements to apartments for families and handicapped citizens, homeownership assistance for military veterans, and security improvements and repairs to existing emergency shelters, shall the state create a housing trust fund by issuing bonds totaling two billion one hundred million dollars (\$2,100,000,000), paid from existing state funds at an average annual cost of one hundred fifty seven million dollars (\$157,000,000) per year over the 30-year life of the bonds, with the requirement that every city and county is eligible to receive funds as specified in the measure and with all expenditures subject to an independent audit?	Yes	+
		No	+
<b>47</b>	<b>KINDERGARTEN-UNIVERSITY PUBLIC EDUCATION FACILITIES BOND ACT OF 2002.</b> This thirteen billion fifty million dollar (\$13,050,000,000) bond issue will provide funding for necessary education facilities to relieve overcrowding and to repair older schools. Funds will be targeted to areas of the greatest need and must be spent according to strict accountability measures. Funds will also be used to upgrade and build new classrooms in the California Community Colleges, the California State University, and the University of California, to provide adequate higher education facilities to accommodate the growing student enrollment. These bonds may be used only for eligible projects. Fiscal Impact: State cost of about \$26.2 billion over 30 years to pay off both the principal (\$13.05 billion) and interest (\$13.15 billion) costs on the bonds. Payments of about \$873 million per year.	Yes	+
		No	+
<b>48</b>	<b>COURT CONSOLIDATION. LEGISLATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT.</b> Amends Constitution to delete references to the municipal courts, which references are now obsolete due to the consolidation of superior and municipal trial courts into unified superior courts. Fiscal Impact: No additional cost to state or local government.	Yes	+
		No	+

30-007D

TURN CARD OVER

**D**

I HAVE VOTED—HAVE YOU?

### MEASURES SUBMITTED TO THE VOTERS

#### STATE

<b>49</b>	<b>BEFORE AND AFTER SCHOOL PROGRAMS. STATE GRANTS. INITIATIVE STATUTE.</b> Increases state grant funds available for before/after school programs, providing tutoring, homework assistance, and educational enrichment. Requires that, beginning 2004-05, new grants will not be taken from education funds guaranteed by Proposition 98. Fiscal Impact: Additional annual state costs for before and after school programs of up to \$455 million, beginning in 2004-05.	Yes	+
		No	+
<b>50</b>	<b>WATER QUALITY, SUPPLY AND SAFE DRINKING WATER PROJECTS. COASTAL WETLANDS PURCHASE AND PROTECTION. BONDS. INITIATIVE STATUTE.</b> Authorizes \$3,440,000,000 general obligation bonds to fund a variety of specified water and wetlands projects. Fiscal Impact: State cost of up to \$6.9 billion over 30 years to repay bonds. Reduction in local property tax revenues, up to roughly \$10 million annually; partially offset by state funds. Unknown state and local operation and maintenance costs.	Yes	+
		No	+
<b>51</b>	<b>TRANSPORTATION. DISTRIBUTION OF EXISTING MOTOR VEHICLE SALES AND TAX. INITIATIVE STATUTE.</b> Redistributes portion of existing state motor vehicle sales/lease revenues from General Fund to Trust Fund for transportation, environmental, and highway and school bus safety programs. Fiscal Impact: Redirects specified General Fund revenues to transportation-related purposes, totaling about \$420 million in 2002-03, \$910 million in 2003-04, and increasing amounts annually thereafter, depending on increases in motor vehicle sales and leasing.	Yes	+
		No	+
<b>52</b>	<b>ELECTION DAY VOTER REGISTRATION. VOTER FRAUD PENALTIES. INITIATIVE STATUTE.</b> Allows legally eligible persons to register to vote on election day. Increases criminal penalties for voter and voter registration fraud. Criminalizes conspiracy to commit voter fraud. Fiscal Impact: Annual state costs of about \$6 million to fund counties for election day voter registration activities. No anticipated net county costs. Minor state administrative costs and unknown, but probably minor, state costs to enforce new election fraud offense.	Yes	+
		No	+

30-008D

TURN CARD OVER

**D**

# SAMPLE BALLOT

**E**

## OFFICIAL BALLOT

General Election  
**Orange County**  
November 5, 2002

This ballot stub shall be removed  
and retained by the voter.

### MEASURES SUBMITTED TO THE VOTERS

#### COUNTY OF ORANGE

**A** Shall the County Campaign Reform Ordinance be repealed and reenacted to allow an increase in the campaign contribution limit only if approved by the voters, allow intra-candidate transfers of campaign funds, require additional reporting of late independent expenditures, allow the Board of Supervisors to establish an administrative procedure for the enforcement of the Ordinance, and make other changes in the Ordinance?

Yes	+
No	+

**B** Advisory Vote Only. Prior to the transfer of ownership of MCAS El Toro, a toxic "Superfund" site, should the County demand from the Department of the Navy that the following conditions be met: 1) a complete and thorough study of the toxic contamination found or expected to be found at El Toro that incorporates and addresses the inconsistent toxic findings of the Department of the Navy's analysis, the local redevelopment authority's "GeoSyntec Report", and the City of Irvine study entitled "Navy's Underestimation of Solvent Contamination at the MCAS, El Toro"; 2) remediation costs necessary for its intended use, including identification of funds to complete removal of all toxic contamination; 3) the identification of funds to reimburse the community for any direct or consequential damages or costs the community incurs as a result of contamination; and 4) that the actual clean-up and remediation of El Toro must be completed prior to its transfer or sale?

Yes	+
No	+

#### SCHOOL

##### NORTH ORANGE COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

**Governing Board Member**  
**Trustee Area 4**

**Vote for One**

<b>JOHN J. MARCINKO</b> Retired School Counselor	+
<b>DONNA MILLER</b> Incumbent	+
<b>TRACY G. CAMPBELL</b> Aerospace Designer/Student	+
<b>TONY ROBERTS</b> Independent Businessman	+
	+

30-009E

TURN CARD OVER

**E**

I HAVE VOTED—HAVE YOU?

#### SCHOOL

##### PLACENTIA-YORBA LINDA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

**Governing Board Member** **Vote for no more than Two**

<b>JAN WAGNER</b> Governing Board Member, Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District	+
<b>KARIN M. FREEMAN</b> Governing Board Member, Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District	+
<b>RICHARD L. FRENCH</b> Chemist	+
	+
	+

##### MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT

**Director, Division 1** **Vote for One**

<b>CAROL ANN HOLCOMB</b> Geriatric Registered Nurse	+
<b>BRETT R. BARBRE</b> Director, Municipal Water District of Orange County	+
<b>MIKE TUCKER</b> Government Fleet Technician	+
	+

##### CITY OF PLACENTIA

**Member, City Council** **Vote for no more than Two**

<b>NORMAN Z. ECKENRODE</b> City Councilman	+
<b>RODD "JR" DUFF</b> Small Business Owner	+
<b>JOHN J. CULLUM</b> Accountant/Businessman	+
<b>CHRIS LOWE</b> Placentia City Councilman	+
	+
	+

30-130E

TURN CARD OVER

**E**

# SAMPLE BALLOT

**F**

## OFFICIAL BALLOT

General Election  
**Orange County**  
November 5, 2002

This ballot stub shall be removed  
and retained by the voter.

CITY OF PLACENTIA						
City Clerk	Vote for One					
<b>PATRICK J. MELIA</b> Retired NASA Engineer	+					
<b>TOM UNDERHILL JR.</b> Publisher	+					
<b>JOHN WALCEK</b> Photographer	+					
	+					
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO THE VOTERS						
CITY						
<b>R</b>	Shall Section 600 of the Charter of the City of Placentia be amended to limit to three consecutive terms the number of terms of office to which a person can be elected as a member of the City Council?	<table border="1"><tr><td>Yes</td><td>+</td></tr><tr><td>No</td><td>+</td></tr></table>	Yes	+	No	+
Yes	+					
No	+					
<b>S</b>	Shall Section 602 of the Charter of the City of Placentia be amended to provide for three (3) annual increases of \$100.00 per month in the compensation to be paid to the Mayor and the Members of the City Council?	<table border="1"><tr><td>Yes</td><td>+</td></tr><tr><td>No</td><td>+</td></tr></table>	Yes	+	No	+
Yes	+					
No	+					
<b>T</b>	Shall Section 604 of the Charter of the City of Placentia be amended to clarify the date on which the City Council elects a Mayor?	<table border="1"><tr><td>Yes</td><td>+</td></tr><tr><td>No</td><td>+</td></tr></table>	Yes	+	No	+
Yes	+					
No	+					
<b>U</b>	Shall Section 608 of the Charter of the City of Placentia be amended to require competitive bidding on public works projects involving an expenditure of more than \$25,000.00, or in the alternative, to provide for the award of public works contracts by a best value process, including design build and construction manager at risk approaches, as approved by the City Council and adopted by ordinance?	<table border="1"><tr><td>Yes</td><td>+</td></tr><tr><td>No</td><td>+</td></tr></table>	Yes	+	No	+
Yes	+					
No	+					
<b>V</b>	Shall Sections 620 and 1401 of the Charter of the City of Placentia be amended to provide (1) that punishment for violating the provisions of the City Municipal Code and City Charter be increased to the maximum extent provided by state law and (2) for recovery by the City of its investigation and enforcement costs relating to violation of the City Municipal Code and City Charter?	<table border="1"><tr><td>Yes</td><td>+</td></tr><tr><td>No</td><td>+</td></tr></table>	Yes	+	No	+
Yes	+					
No	+					
<b>W</b>	Shall Section 902 of the Charter of the City of Placentia be amended to provide for staggered terms of office for members of City boards and commissions?	<table border="1"><tr><td>Yes</td><td>+</td></tr><tr><td>No</td><td>+</td></tr></table>	Yes	+	No	+
Yes	+					
No	+					

30-141F

TURN CARD OVER

**F**

I HAVE VOTED—HAVE YOU?

MEASURES SUBMITTED TO THE VOTERS						
CITY						
CITY OF PLACENTIA						
<b>X</b>	Shall Section 1300 of the Charter of the City of Placentia be amended to specify cable television as a utility for which the City Council may grant a franchise?	<table border="1"><tr><td>Yes</td><td>+</td></tr><tr><td>No</td><td>+</td></tr></table>	Yes	+	No	+
Yes	+					
No	+					
<b>Y</b>	Shall Article VII of the Charter of the City of Placentia be repealed and Sections 800 and 1211 of the Charter be amended to change the elected offices of City Clerk and City Treasurer to offices appointed by the City Administrator?	<table border="1"><tr><td>Yes</td><td>+</td></tr><tr><td>No</td><td>+</td></tr></table>	Yes	+	No	+
Yes	+					
No	+					
<b>Z</b>	INITIATIVE ORDINANCE NO. 2002-01: Shall Sections 3.28.020(a), 3.28.030(a), 3.28.040(a) and 3.28.060 of the Municipal Code of the City of Placentia be amended to restore the City's utility user tax to the previously existing rate of five percent (5%) on telephone, electricity, gas and cable television use?	<table border="1"><tr><td>Yes</td><td>+</td></tr><tr><td>No</td><td>+</td></tr></table>	Yes	+	No	+
Yes	+					
No	+					
<b>AA</b>	<b>ADVISORY VOTE ONLY.</b> Use of the Utility User Tax. THIS MEASURE DOES NOT INCREASE TAXES AND IS NOT BINDING ON THE CITY COUNCIL. Shall a portion of the funds from any voter-approved increase in the City's Utility User's Tax be used for increases to City employee, including police employee, compensation (salaries and/or benefits)?	<table border="1"><tr><td>Yes</td><td>+</td></tr><tr><td>No</td><td>+</td></tr></table>	Yes	+	No	+
Yes	+					
No	+					

30-132F

TURN CARD OVER

**F**

# CAMPAIGN EXPENDITURE LIMITATIONS

**Proposition 34**, passed by the voters at the November 7, 2000 election, provides that state legislative candidates who voluntarily limit their campaign expenditures in accordance with Proposition 34, may submit a candidate statement of qualifications for inclusion in the sample ballot and voter information pamphlet. The list below includes all legislative candidates within Orange County. Candidates that have voluntarily agreed to expenditure limitations are indicated with an asterisk after their name.

## State Senate

Joe Dunn

Robert "Bob" Pacheco\*

Paul Studier\*

Araceli Gonzalez

Tom Harman\*

Bea Foster\*

Lars R. Grossmith\*

William R. "Bill" Orton

Todd Spitzer\*

Philip G. Hanneman\*

Ken Maddox

Brian Lee Cross

Bill Morrow\*

Douglas J. Scribner

Lynn Daucher\*

Al Snook\*

G. Nanjundappa

## State Assembly

Lou Correa

Patricia C. "Pat" Bates\*

Rudy Bermudez\*

Reuben Ross\*

Kathleen Calzada\*

John W. Brantuk, Sr.\*

John Campbell

Bob Vondruska\*

Adrian Lincoln Martinez\*

John Kane\*

## VOTER'S PAMPHLET

The following pages contain  
**CANDIDATES' STATEMENTS**  
together with

## BALLOT MEASURES, ANALYSES AND ARGUMENTS

(whichever is applicable to your ballot)

This pamphlet may not contain a complete list of candidates. A complete list of candidates appears on the sample ballot. Each candidate's statement in this pamphlet is volunteered by the candidate and is printed at the expense of the candidate unless otherwise determined by the governing body.

**ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT OF OR OPPOSITION TO THE  
PROPOSED LAWS ARE THE OPINIONS OF THE AUTHORS**

**MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY, 72ND DISTRICT**

**LYNN DAUCHER**

**OCCUPATION:** Assemblywoman, 72nd District

As your assemblywoman, I have voted to protect jobs, limit the size of government and oppose new taxes. I have fought to cut pork barrel and special interest projects that waste our tax dollars.

I fought to get Orange County taxpayers and schools our fair share of the state's budget. I pushed to dedicate gas taxes for transportation projects to relieve traffic congestion.

I introduced AB 885 to prepare our children for the future through distance learning in our high schools.

By co-authoring the law to ban unwanted telemarketing phone calls, I helped protect your privacy. I authored AB 901, a law which protects our water quality and reduces the likelihood of a future water crisis.

I, also, authored legislation to quiet train whistles in Placentia and to allow Yorba Linda to control Imperial Highway's expansion.

As chair of the Aging and Long Term Care Committee, I cut wasteful spending from bills while still addressing senior housing, transportation and care issues.

To keep in touch with you, I hosted town halls and forums on senior issues, California's Master Plan for Education, transportation, terrorism, and college scholarships. I visited our high schools and taught students about state government.

I have worked hard to improve our quality of life, streamline government, ensure government lives within its means and solves local problems. I am honored to represent you in the Assembly and ask for your vote of support on November 5.



**JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT  
OFFICE NO. 21**

**JOHN ADAMS**

**AGE: 50**

**OCCUPATION:** Civil Attorney

In the unprecedented write-in campaign for this judicial office, I was the voter's top choice. Thanks Orange County.

I'm also law enforcement's choice. I'll be a tough, fair, no-nonsense judge who supports Law and Order, Victim's Rights, Three Strikes, and the Death Penalty. I'm honored to be endorsed by Sheriff Mike Carona and District Attorney Tony Rackauckus.

I'm an experienced attorney, graduate of Stanford Law School and Superior Court Judge Pro Tem. With maturity, skills and the judicial temperament to make sound decisions, I'll work long hours for taxpayers to see that justice is done.

I'm also endorsed by:

Congressmen Cox, Issa, Rohrabacher and Royce.  
State Senators Ackerman, Johnson and Morrow.  
Assembly Members Bates, Campbell, Daucher and Maddox.  
Supervisors Silva, Smith, Spitzer and Wilson.  
Mayors and City Council Members.

Anaheim Police Officers Association, Latino Peace Officers Association,  
Orange County Professional Fire Fighters Association.  
Conservative Women's Leadership Association,  
COPE (AFL-CIO Unions)

I also have extensive business experience. I've founded companies, created jobs and met payrolls. I'll bring wisdom and judgment from "real world" experience in both law and business to every decision I make.

I'm a community leader, husband, father and church member. I'd be honored to receive your vote.

[www.johnadamsforjudge.com](http://www.johnadamsforjudge.com)

**JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT  
OFFICE NO. 21**

**GAY SANDOVAL**

**AGE: 50**

**OCCUPATION:** Former Deputy District Attorney

As a Deputy District Attorney for 10 years, I held the hands of molested children and walked them to the witness stand. I assured them that the judge and I would protect them as they testified about how they were victimized. I prosecuted felonies and misdemeanors, child molesters and abusers, and put criminals behind bars.

I started the write-in campaign for Office No. 21, so that there would be no automatic re-election without a name even appearing on the primary ballot.

I have 12 years experience as a lawyer who represents small business owners and as a Judge Pro-Tem. After 22 years as a trial lawyer, I know the courts and the law.

I teach Drug and Alcohol Awareness at Orange Coast College. I was a PTA President, coach, newspaper columnist and raised Guide Dogs. Joe and I have been married 29 years. I grew up in Orange County and we have raised our children here. Maggie attends Stanford and Celinda graduates from high school soon.

The Association of Orange County Deputy District Attorneys, police associations, police chiefs, and judges support me. For endorsements and more about my background, go to [www.SandovalforJudge.com](http://www.SandovalforJudge.com).

Vote for Gay Sandoval for your family's sake.

**JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT  
OFFICE NO. 22**

**KELLY ANN MACEACHERN**

**OCCUPATION:** Deputy District Attorney, Orange County

Tough - Fair - Supported by Law Enforcement.

For 21 years I've protected our community as a Deputy District Attorney. I've prosecuted hundreds of serious felonies including rape, child molestation, family violence, drugs and gang terrorism. I'm proud of taking violent criminals off the streets, sending them to prison, and being named the association of Orange County Deputy District Attorneys "Outstanding Prosecutor" panel.

Crime victims, police chiefs, cops on the street, elected officials, news media, Republicans and Democrats are behind my candidacy.

Over 75 superior court judges and commissioners urge you to vote for me because they know I'll be a tough, fair, ethical, no-nonsense judge.

My endorsements include:

Former Governor George Deukmejian  
District Attorney Tony Rackauckas  
Sheriff Lee Baca  
The Los Angeles Times  
Congresswoman Loretta Sanchez  
Congressmen Ed Royce, Dana Rohrabacher  
Senators Joe Dunn, Ross Johnson, Dick Ackerman, Bill Morrow, John Lewis (ret.)  
Assemblymembers Patricia Bates, Lynn Daucher, Ken Maddox, Tom Harman, John Campbell  
Shawn Steel, Chair, California Republican Party  
Frank Barbaro, Chair, Orange County Democratic Party  
Supervisors Todd Spitzer, Tom Wilson, Cynthia Coad, and Chris Norby  
Central Labor Council (AFL-CIO Labor Unions)  
Santa Ana Police Chief Paul Walters  
Costa Mesa Police Chief Dave Snowden  
Irvine Police Chief Charles Brobeck (ret.)  
California Organization of Police and Sheriffs (COPS)  
Cypress Police Officers Association  
Latino Peace Officers Association  
Citizens for Law and Order  
Citizens against Violent Crime

The 6,000 member Orange County Bar Association, which evaluates judicial candidates, publicly recognized my qualifications to serve as a Superior Court Judge. The Los Angeles Times endorses me and states She would be fair and impartial. We strongly support her election.

My positions are straightforward: Three Strikes for serious and violent criminals; enforcement of the death penalty, long prison sentences for rapists and child molesters, treatment for drug users but prison for drug dealers, protect Victims' Rights, and give the taxpayers a full day's work every single day.

I grew up in Southern California and graduated from UCLA. I've been married 23 years and live with my husband and children in Orange County where I volunteer with my church, AYSO, Lions Club, PTA and Little League. I'm a Board Member of the National Council on Alcoholism and Drug Dependency, and strongly support community alcohol/drug treatment programs.

The safety of our neighborhoods and schools is my highest priority. Please allow me to continue serving you by electing me as your Superior Court judge.

Thank you.

Website: Kelly4Judge.com

**JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT  
OFFICE NO. 22**

**VICKIE ANN BRIDGMAN**

**AGE: 47**

**OCCUPATION:** Deputy District Attorney

Dear Voter,

I came in first in the March primary with 46.6% of the vote because the people of Orange County know that I will be a fair judge, committed to upholding the law and protecting our communities. Judge Donald MacIntyre, a great jurist and combat decorated Vietnam Veteran, endorsed me to fill this judgeship upon his retirement for these reasons.

As a Deputy District Attorney I have earned the support of law enforcement and community leaders, such as our nationally respected Sheriff Mike Carona; Chris Kralick, President of the Orange County Attorneys Association, and Vice President of the California Prosecutors Association; Pete Pierce and Carol Henson, leaders in the Association of Orange County Deputy District Attorneys; and numerous police, firefighters, judges, and elected officials.

I have been through the court system twice as a victim. As a child, I experienced the horror of being molested. As a mother, my heart was broken when a careless driver killed my precious son. I am a leader in the Compassionate Friends, an organization that assists families that have lost children. Victims' rights crusader and "Three Strikes" author, Mike Reynolds, former State Senator, Marian Bergeson, author of the "One Strike" law for violent sexual offenders, and Crime Victims United of California endorse me because I strongly support and understand victims' rights issues. I support the death penalty.

My community activities include serving as President of two Bar Associations, Mothers Against Drunk Driving, the March of Dimes, the Society to Prevent Blindness, and President of Drive S.A.F.E., a non-profit organization that sponsors safe driving assemblies in high schools. My work with the Automobile Club of Southern California helped enact the graduated drivers license program resulting in a 40% drop in deaths and injuries among teenagers from car crashes in California.

My working class parents instilled in me a strong work ethic, American values and good citizenship. I worked to put myself through Cal State Fullerton. I attended law school on a scholarship, graduating as Valedictorian and first in a class of over 300.

I have a strong record of protecting our neighborhoods. My prosecutions include gang members, drug peddlers, rapists and robbers. I am currently among a select few deputies assigned to prosecute white-collar crime cases.

I have worked, worshipped and raised my family in Orange County. I will be a hardworking, ethical and commonsense judge. I respectfully request your vote.

[www.homestead.com/bridgmanforjudge](http://www.homestead.com/bridgmanforjudge)

**JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT  
OFFICE NO. 27**

**GLENDA SANDERS**

**OCCUPATION:** Trial Attorney/Law Professor

Why should you vote for me?

Not just because Sheriff Mike Carona, District Attorney Tony Rackauckas, and the Orange County Professional Firefighters endorse me.

Not just because the Orange County Bar Association has given me its top rating of "Highly Qualified."

Not just because Judge Robert Monarch has retired from this Office No. 27 and has endorsed me as his successor, or because dozens of other judges, including Assistant Presiding Judge David McEachen, Judge Nho Trong Nguyen, and Judge Stuart Waldrip (Ret.), are united behind my candidacy.

Not just because the L.A. Times concluded in March that "... Sanders is the most impressive candidate seeking a seat on the Superior Court Bench in Orange County this election and should be an outstanding judge."

Not just because you have already supported me with the highest number of votes in the March primary.

Not just because I have both criminal and civil experience, having practiced as a trial attorney, a Special Assistant Deputy District Attorney in Orange County, and as a partner in the Orange County office of Latham & Watkins, a nationally recognized law firm. During my 20 year legal career, my cases have included capital homicides, kidnappings, gang-related cases, family law disputes and complex civil disputes.

Or because I am involved in our community, having served on the boards of charities like Human Options, which helps those affected by domestic violence, and Girls' Inc., which promotes healthy after-school activities among teenage girls.

Or because I have taught law at Chapman University Law School, where President Jim Doti and Law School Dean, Parham Williams, endorse my candidacy.

Ultimately I believe I deserve your vote because, as a committed member of this community and the mother of two children, the proper enforcement of our laws and the safety of our neighborhoods are paramount concerns for me. I support the Three Strikes Law and the death penalty in appropriate cases. I have spent hundreds of hours providing free legal advice to victims of fraud, and I fully support Victims' Rights.

In addition to those above, my endorsements include:

United States Representatives Christopher Cox, Loretta Sanchez and Ed Royce; State Senators Dick Ackerman, Lou Correa, Joe Dunn, and Ross Johnson; Assemblymembers Pat Bates, Bill Campbell, John Campbell and Ken Maddox.

With your vote I will work diligently to support law and order for you and your family.

[www.glendasanders.com](http://www.glendasanders.com)

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>COUNTY CLERK/RECORDER</b></p> <p><b>BRUCE PEOTTER</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>AGE: 43</b></span>  <b>OCCUPATION:</b> Corporate Clerk-Recorder</p> <p>This office is responsible for maintaining the vital records and real estate document recordings for all of Orange County.</p> <p>I am running for this office because I believe we need elected officials who serve the taxpayers and not personal agendas. My business experience as a Corporate Clerk-Recorder provides me with the perfect background.</p> <p>As your Clerk/Recorder, I will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protect your records from identity theft and fraud.</li> <li>Efficiently run the office in a customer and taxpayer friendly manner.</li> <li>Make the office more accessible using the Internet and satellite locations.</li> <li>Work to preserve property values.</li> </ul> <p>Endorsements:</p> <p>Republican Party of Orange County  Sheriff Mike Carona  Treasurer John Moorlach  Congressmen: Cox, Calvert, Rohrabacher, Royce  Senators: Ackerman, Johnson, Margett, McClintock  Assemblymembers: Patricia Bates, John/Bill Campbell, Ken Maddox  Conservative Women's Leadership Association  South County Leaders Christina Shea/Mimi Walters  Roberta Estrada/Felix Rocha (3rd/4th place finishers in the primary)  Scores of local officials/organizations</p> <p>I've served the community as an Irvine Finance Commissioner, Education Nonprofit Director, church leader and Eagle Scout.</p> <p>I earned my J.D. from University of California, Hastings and my undergraduate degree from Arizona State.</p> <p>I am a twenty-five year Orange County resident and reside in Irvine with my wife and son.</p> <p><a href="http://www.peotter.com">www.peotter.com</a></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>COUNTY CLERK/RECORDER</b></p> <p><b>TOM DALY</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>AGE: 48</b></span>  <b>OCCUPATION:</b> Mayor/Businessman</p> <p>As Clerk-Recorder, I will safeguard the vital records that are important to our families—birth certificates, marriage licenses, home sales, and personal transactions.</p> <p>As mayor of Orange County's most vibrant city for the past ten years, I have overseen a balanced budget every year. The city's financial ratings were solid and the needs of citizens were efficiently served. I will apply the same skills to the Clerk-Recorder position and will achieve the same outstanding results.</p> <p>This position demands integrity, dedication and experience in government service. As a mayor, former school board trustee and private business executive, I bring experience, sound judgment and financial knowledge to the job.</p> <p>I'm committed to continuing the modernization of the Clerk-Recorder office begun by the late Gary Granville (who endorsed me to succeed him). Recent technological updates resulted in greater efficiency and lower costs. I will expand efforts to make the Clerk-Recorder office better able to serve your needs.</p> <p>I'm honored to be endorsed by:</p> <p>The late Gary Granville, respected longtime Clerk-Recorder  Orange County Deputy Sheriffs  Orange County Professional Firefighters  Supervisor-elect Chris Norby  City officials and community leaders from every part of Orange County.</p> <p>I respectfully request your vote.</p>

**PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR**

**JOHN S. WILLIAMS**

**AGE: 51**

**OCCUPATION:** Law Enforcement, Administrator, Trustee, South O.C. Comm. College District/Businessman

I believe the Public Administrator's office must fight to enact stronger safeguards protecting the public, especially Senior citizens.

The Public Administrator should be an advocate for all persons in Probate.

Traditionally, the Public Administrator and Public Guardian have been one person. This combination can help prevent in Orange County the abuses occurring in Riverside County where a conservator, her attorney and the judge hearing the cases stole millions from defenseless Senior Citizens under their care.

If elected Public Administrator, I'll support the 2001 Orange County Grand Jury recommendations for criminal background checks on all potential conservators.

I'm the only candidate for Public Administrator endorsed by Sheriff Mike Carona and the Peace Officers Research Association of California (PORAC).

I'm a 20+ year career police officer/law enforcement administrator – administered safety/security – Santa Ana Courthouse.

My concern is for public risk when anyone, without qualifications, training, accountability can be appointed court conservator gaining control over private bank accounts/property/assets of the elderly, infirm, disabled.

In addition to my law career, I'm a 3-term community college trustee/board president, elected by voters (1992, 1996, 2000) to oversee 2 college campuses, 2,000 employees, 35,000 students, \$150 million budget.

As a college trustee, I've served on the Orange County Legislative Task Force and California Commission on Legislation and Finance.

I earned a Master's Degree in Public Administration – Pepperdine University.

Endorsements include Police/Fire Associations from; Anaheim, Brea, Costa Mesa, Cypress, Fullerton, Garden Grove, Huntington Beach, Irvine, Laguna Beach, Newport Beach Police, Fire, Marine Safety, Orange, Placentia, Santa Ana, Seal Beach, University Police, Westminster, Orange County Fire Authority, Probation, Sheriff Special Officers.

Additionally, elected/community leaders support me, including; United States Congressmen Ed Royce, Dana Rohrabacher, Senators Bill Morrow, Dick Ackerman, Ross Johnson, Assembly members; Patricia Bates, Bill Campbell, John Campbell; Orange County Supervisors Chris Norby and Jim Silva; Coast Community College Board President Armando Ruiz, USC Football Legend Anthony Davis, California Women's Leadership Association, Seniors and Veterans for Education.

I live in Mission Viejo with my wife of 31 years, a teacher, two children. We attend Saddleback Church. I grew up in a family of 7 children and over 200 foster children.

I have the experience and qualifications listed above to reform our Public Administrator's office, support Grand Jury recommendations and fight for tough legislation. With the support of Senator Bill Morrow, I will protect citizens' rights and assets.

Visit [williamsforpa.com](http://williamsforpa.com).

Vote for taxpayer accountability.

**PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR**

**VICKI LANDRUS**

**AGE: 50**

**OCCUPATION:** Assistant Public Administrator

As the Assistant Public Administrator, I have the career experience and knowledge to serve as Public Administrator.

My qualifications include the knowledge and experience of three years as Assistant Public Administrator, a Bachelors degree from California State University, Sacramento, and a Masters degree in Public Administration from University of Southern California.

My responsibilities include managing a professional staff that protect the property of those who die in Orange County with no family or will. We also locate relatives, arrange burials, pay bills, and perform other services consistent with the wishes of the dead.

I am committed to prudent management of your tax dollars, complete and accurate record keeping, protection of privacy and confidential data for the individuals and families served, and the highest level of honesty and integrity.

My endorsements include the current Public Administrator, the Association of Orange County Deputy Sheriffs, many City Council members, three Orange County Supervisors, and the Orange County Auditor.

I have been married for 28 years to my husband Tim, a peace officer, and we have lived in our Garden Grove home since 1977.

I respectfully ask for your vote, and look forward to serving you as your Public Administrator.

Thank you.

[www.vickilandrus.org](http://www.vickilandrus.org)

**NORTH ORANGE COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT  
GOVERNING BOARD MEMBER  
TRUSTEE AREA 4**

**DONNA MILLER**

**OCCUPATION:** Incumbent

As a NOCCCD Board member for six years and a community college educator for almost 30 years, I am committed to the success of California's community colleges.

A married resident of Buena Park for 24 years, my daughter attended public schools and community college. I have served the District as Board President, Vice-President, and Secretary. In addition, I am on the Cypress College Foundation Board, have been District representative to the Orange County Legislative Task Force and served as signatory on the recent successful bond drive.

During my term as Board member, the District has maintained fiscal stability, increased services to Anaheim residents by establishing a new Anaheim campus, and created a Teacher Preparation Program with CSU, Long Beach to address California's growing teacher shortage.

A recent study predicts that computers, globalization and community colleges will be the major factors shaping shared prosperity for Californians. We must continue providing quality retraining for workers, increase our transfer rate and maintain excellence while continuing to be fiscally responsible.

Community colleges are my professional life. My ongoing goal as a Board member is to provide continuing visionary leadership to our District and community.

**NORTH ORANGE COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT  
GOVERNING BOARD MEMBER  
TRUSTEE AREA 4**

**ANTHONY J. ROBERTS**

**AGE: 41**

**OCCUPATION:** Independent Businessman

As a parent I believe it is important to be involved in education. As a businessman I understand the importance of education in preparing people to compete for jobs in the global economy. As a conservative and a taxpayer I am against wasteful government spending and am determined to deliver the maximum amount of our tax dollars to the classroom.

I will ensure that our community colleges fulfill their primary mission: sending students onto four year universities, training for technical vocations, and providing continuing education so our citizens remain competitive in the workplace. I will use my nineteen years experience as a successful businessman to allocate our resources effectively so our students will receive the best education possible.

My career includes:

National and state awards for commitment to Child Nutrition;

Commissioner on Fullerton's Energy and Resource Management Commission the past six years;

1998 "Small Businessperson of the Year" award from the U.S. Small Business Administration - Los Angeles Region.

My education includes a B. A. in Economics from U.C. San Diego, Spanish language, and a M. B. A. in International Finance from USC.

My wife Marjorie and I have been married eleven years, and we have a ten year old daughter.

Thank you for your vote.

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>PLACENTIA-YORBA LINDA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT GOVERNING BOARD MEMBER</b></p> <p><b>JAN WAGNER</b>  <b>OCCUPATION:</b> Governing Board Member, Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District</p> <p>I am School Board President, Executive Director of a nonprofit corporation, parent and 22-year resident of PYLUSD. Since my election, our board reduced class size to 20:1 in first through third grades, ninth grade language arts, and algebra. Every elementary school emphasizes basic skills. New technology curriculum, International Baccalaureate program, and AP classes challenge students. Schools support parents by emphasizing honesty, self-reliance, and responsibility. Test results show steady improvement.</p> <p>Taxpayers hold School Board members accountable for sound fiscal judgment, safe, orderly, well-maintained schools, and classrooms equipped with modern technology for teaching and learning. Students must master reading, writing, and math skills, then build on those fundamentals with the challenging coursework needed to succeed in college and career. Teachers must be well trained.</p> <p>Together we raised \$207 million to renovate every school, build three new elementary schools, a middle school, and a Yorba Linda high school by 2007. I am committed to completing each project. Additionally, I led successful litigation that will yield \$240 million to eliminate portables, develop and maintain schools, arts and athletic facilities through 2030.</p> <p>I am proud of our progress, and request your vote to continue this important work for our children. Contact me at <a href="http://www.wagnerwins4kids.com">www.wagnerwins4kids.com</a></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>PLACENTIA-YORBA LINDA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT GOVERNING BOARD MEMBER</b></p> <p><b>KARIN M. FREEMAN</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>AGE: 54</b></span>  <b>OCCUPATION:</b> Governing Board Member, Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified</p> <p>As a parent and 29-year District resident, I expect outstanding education for all our children. That requires highly professional staff, equitable access to technology, and safe, secure schools. I place high priority on building new schools and modernizing existing campuses. We have maintained class-size reduction, a less than 1% drop-out rate, and continue to improve SAT test scores. New educational programs like International Baccalaureate and Beckman Science enhance opportunities to learn.</p> <p>My educational experience includes current member/Past President of PYLUSD Board, Orange County School Boards Association Board Member, North Orange County ROP Board Member, and California School Boards Association State Delegate. Previously, I was a Yorba Linda Elementary School District Board Member, PTA President, and Site Council member.</p> <p>Additionally, I am an active member of the Chamber of Commerce, St. Jude's Healthy Communities Advisory Board, and the Yorba Linda Historical Society.</p> <p>I have taught English. I was in management with Southern California Edison.</p> <p>As a UCI graduate, I value a good education. Therefore I will continue to support high standards, encourage student learning, and improve instruction thus providing our students a strong educational and character foundation. Our District must seek to meet the needs of all our students.</p> <p><a href="mailto:www.karin4kids@aol.com">www.karin4kids@aol.com</a></p>



**CITY OF PLACENTIA  
MEMBER OF CITY COUNCIL**

**NORMAN Z. ECKENRODE**  
**OCCUPATION:** Councilman

The Placentia City Council has been an effective team in the last four years, tackling issues affecting the entire community. The council has worked cooperatively with City staff to have the Burlington Northern Railroad Line lowered into the ground, thus reducing noise, chances of accidents and long waits by commuters at each signal.

Silencing train whistles is another issue that we are addressing by the construction of additional barriers at each rail crossing. This will greatly reduce the need for train engineers to blow their whistles as a warning. Both projects will begin this fall, 2002.

Police and fire protection are important to the health and safety of our City. Providing these professionals with the best equipment, in a cost-effective manner, is also the responsibility of the City Council. We have added computers in patrol cars, increased armament, and implemented mutual aid agreements with adjacent cities.

I want to continue as a vital council member to serve your needs and those of the entire community. Improving our quality of life and ensuring the welfare of all citizens is my prime objective. Thank you for your consideration and confidence in my ability to serve on the Placentia City Council.

**CITY OF PLACENTIA  
MEMBER OF THE CITY COUNCIL**

**JOHN J. CULLUM**  
**OCCUPATION:** Accountant/Businessman

**AGE: 35**

I am a concerned resident focused on Placentia's future.

I have the qualifications and determination to lead Placentia into a brighter future. As a husband and father of two small children, I live here because of the good schools, public safety and quiet neighborhoods. I am running for City Council because I want Placentia to be better.

Over the past few years, I have been proactive about improving our community and, if elected, I can accomplish much more. As your councilman, I pledge to focus on:

Public Safety by providing additional support to our police, fire and neighborhood programs that enhance the overall welfare of our community.

Economic Development by revitalizing strategic business areas through improving traffic flow, infrastructure and beautifying the appearance to attract customers, new businesses and new revenues.

Fiscal Responsibility to control spending so that residents and businesses receive the most value for their tax dollars.

Lowering the train tracks and silencing the train whistles to restore peace and quiet!

I ask for your vote to help me make Placentia a better place to live, work and raise a family.

Please feel free to contact me at 714-577-9130 or - [www.Vote4Cullum.com](http://www.Vote4Cullum.com) - if you have questions. Thank you.

**CITY OF PLACENTIA  
MEMBER OF THE CITY COUNCIL**

**CHRIS LOWE**  
**OCCUPATION:** Mayor/Businessman

I would like to ask for your support for my re-election. Since you elected me to City Council in 1998, Placentia has made significant progress in the areas of crime reduction, emergency preparedness, education and redevelopment.

Our streets and schools are safer. Serious crime has decreased 15 percent since 1998, and new student resource officers in our schools have helped to make our campuses safe. In addition, I was honored to be one of only 12 mayors in the United States invited to meet with President George W. Bush to discuss emergency preparedness and homeland security.

I championed the opening of a new elementary school in Placentia, which will help to relieve overcrowding and the need for busing when it opens. Also, we have begun the revitalization of downtown Placentia, which will give our City an economic boost, and ultimately result in the permanent silencing of the train whistles.

There is still work to be done in Placentia, and we need leaders with experience, energy and dedication to continue those efforts.

Please call me at home with your concerns, 993-1045. It has been an honor to serve you, and I ask for your continued support and your vote.

[www.chrislowe.org](http://www.chrislowe.org)



**COUNTY OF ORANGE  
IMPARTIAL ANALYSIS BY COUNTY COUNSEL  
MEASURE A**

The proposed ordinance would repeal the existing Orange County Campaign Reform Ordinance, and reenact it with certain changes. The significant changes are indicated in *italic type* below.

The proposed ordinance would prohibit campaign contributions for County office in excess of \$1,000 for each of the following elections: primary, general, special, or recall. The same limits would be imposed on contributions to persons or committees that make specified independent expenditures in County elections.

In applying the \$1,000 limit, the following contributions would be added together: a sponsored committee and its sponsor; a corporation and an individual who owns a specified controlling interest in the corporation; a partnership and an individual who owns a specified interest in the partnership; entities that share a majority of directors, or multiple officers, or are owned by the same majority shareholder(s), or are parent and subsidiary; *a business entity and an individual connected with that entity who participates in a decision by the entity to make a contribution*; and in most cases, contributions of minors and their parents or guardians. *Contributions of spouses would not be added together. A husband and wife could each contribute \$1,000. A campaign contributor would be required to disclose to the candidate or committee any other contributions made under a different name that are required to be aggregated with the current contribution.*

A County candidate or officeholder could have only one campaign committee and one campaign bank account from which expenditures can be made. There could be no interlocking committees.

Different candidates could not transfer funds between themselves and their committees. *However, a single candidate could transfer funds between his or her own accounts, subject to the contribution limit of \$1,000 per person per election.*

*Late independent expenditures would have to be reported to all County candidates running for the office to which the independent expenditure relates. Candidates would be required to file a post-election Campaign Statement within 15 days of an election, and to file required amendments to Campaign Statements by a specified deadline.*

The proposed ordinance would prohibit solicitation or receipt of contributions by county officials and employees from persons who have proceedings before those officials or employees. *It would require the Registrar of Voters to provide County candidates and officeholders with a copy of the Campaign Reform Ordinance.*

Violations of the ordinance would be subject to misdemeanor prosecution and civil actions for specified penalties and injunctive relief. *In addition, the Board of Supervisors could establish an administrative procedure for the resolution of alleged violations and the collection of specified penalties.*

The ordinance could be repealed or amended only by a majority vote of the County electorate, except that the Board of Supervisors could impose additional requirements. *The Board of Supervisors would no longer have the power to adjust the contribution limitations for changes in the consumer price index.*

**The above statement is an impartial analysis of Measure A. If you desire a copy of the Measure, please call the Registrar of Voters office at (714) 567-7600 and a copy will be mailed at no cost to you.**

**ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE A**

Measure A is a revision to the County's Campaign Reform Ordinance, also known as the TIN CUP ordinance initially enacted in 1978.

Measure A WILL NOT change the current contribution limit of \$1000 per person per election. It will, however, prohibit the Board of Supervisors from increasing the contribution limit in the future without voter approval.

TIN CUP is the most successful local campaign contribution ordinance in the State of California. The law works so well, you never hear any complaint about it. However, experience and recent court decisions have made it necessary to make revisions in order to improve its effectiveness and enforceability. The areas of improvement consist of preventing evasion of the contribution limit by State Mailer Organizations and other entities making independent expenditures.

The reporting requirements are also being increased. For the first time, candidates running in an election will be required to file a timely post-election campaign statement disclosing campaign contributions and expenditures made just before and just after election day.

Voters throughout the county and the state have consistently supported contribution limits. Runaway election costs have been deterred by limiting contributions from individuals, businesses, political action committees, and other third parties. The limit has been particularly beneficial to those entities seeking contracts, permits and project approvals with the County because they are no longer subject to requests for **unlimited** campaign donations. It has also caused candidates running for County office to broaden their financial base by seeking more, but smaller, contributions from their constituents, which gives the general public a bigger voice in the election of county officials.

Measure A is the best means we have to minimize the influence of large campaign contributions on decisions made by our elected officials.

**We urge every voter to vote YES on Measure A.**

s/ Shirley L. Grindle  
Author, Measure A  
Founder of TIN CUP

s/ Cynthia P. Coad  
Chair, County Board of Supervisors

s/ William R. Mitchell  
Former Chair, Orange County Common Cause

s/ Tom Moody  
Former Orange County Planning Commissioner  
and Founding Member of TIN CUP

**No argument against this measure was submitted.**

**COUNTY OF ORANGE  
IMPARTIAL ANALYSIS BY COUNTY COUNSEL  
MEASURE B**

This measure asks the voters to advise the County Board of Supervisors whether the Board should demand from the Department of the Navy as the present owner of the real property formerly operated as Marine Corps Air Station (MCAS), El Toro, that, prior to transfer of ownership or sale of the former Marine Corps Air Station at El Toro, the Department of the Navy:

1. Provide a complete and thorough study of the toxic contamination found or expected to be found at MCAS El Toro that addresses the inconsistent findings of the prior studies provided by the Department of the Navy, the County's GeoSyntec report, and the referenced City of Irvine study;
2. Provide funding to pay for remediation costs necessary for MCAS El Toro's intended use, including identification of funds to complete removal of all toxic contamination;
3. Identify funds to reimburse the community for any direct or consequential damages or costs the community incurs as a result of contamination; and
4. Complete the actual clean up and remediation of MCAS El Toro prior to its transfer or sale.

As an advisory measure, this measure would have no legal force or mandatory effect. If approved by the voters, the measure would advise the Board of Supervisors that the voters desire that the Board make the demands noted above. It would not require the Board of Supervisors to make the demands, or the Department of the Navy to comply with the demands, if made.

A yes vote indicates that the voter desires that the Board of Supervisors make the specified demands.

**FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT  
MEASURE B**

Fiscal Impact Statement Pursuant to Section 9160 of the Elections Code Regarding the Advisory Measure Concerning Clean Up of Toxic Contamination/Carcinogenic Pollutants and Public Health and Safety at MCAS, El Toro

Because this measure is advisory, it is not binding on the Board of Supervisors to make the demands it provides, nor does it require the Department of the Navy to comply with those demands, if made. Accordingly, there is no direct fiscal effect to the general fund of the County of Orange. However, if the demands were made and the Navy complied, the stream of property tax revenues that may occur due to development would be less subject to risk. Realization of these revenues could be postponed due to delays in the development resulting from the discovery of previously unknown contaminants. Neither the value of these potential tax revenues nor the likelihood of uncovering additional contaminants can be reasonably estimated at this time.

s/ David E. Sundstrom, CPA  
Auditor-Controller

**ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE B**

Please support the Orange County referendum to encourage the Navy to not sell any of the closed and contaminated MCAS, El Toro until it clean of toxic waste and meanwhile create a self-supporting, self-cleaning, private-sector-economy stimulating, "Bren National Park" that included:

(1) a broad wildlife habitat corridor for the California mountain lion and its food chain... joining:

- (a) Chino Hills State Park,
- (b) Prado Dam Flood Basin,
- (c) Cleveland National Forest,
- (d) Don Bren 53,000 acre "nature preserves", and
- (e) Crystal Cove State Park

(2) a lease-paying, five-star luxury California Mission style destination-resort/lodge concession,

(3) a lease-paying university concession, e.g. managed by Fullerton Junior College,

(4) a lease-paying reasonable-international-nonintrusive-"V" configuration-airport (<http://www.ocxeltoro.com>) concession, e.g. managed by Los Angeles World Airports (LAWA) with its international airline treaties,

(5) subsidized low-cost housing and office-space/facilities for:

- (a) park rangers,
- (b) fire fighters,
- (c) university professors & staff
- (c) lodge and airport workers,
- (d) INS and Customs officers,
- (e) federal security inspectors,
- (f) air-cargo sorters/students,
- (g) US Postal Service workers,
- (h) low-paid airport/airline workers and
- (h) the Nature Conservancy managers of the 53,000 acre "Nature Preserve" created by Don Bren in combination with the Cleveland National Forest.

Lease payments from concessions can be split appropriately between the on-going federal Superfund clean-up and water-quality costs; low cost housing subsidies; MCAS, Tustin-Hanger, veteran-museum costs; and the Orange County General Fund for wild-fire protection and local community parks.

S/ Charles E. Griffin II, Vice President of The New Millennium Group (TNMG) Inc. Registered Professional Control System Engineer, Certificate No. CS 4092

732 Bison Ave. Newport Beach, CA 92660-3207

E-mail: [c\\_e\\_griffin@msn.com](mailto:c_e_griffin@msn.com) Phone: 949-759-3589 Fax: 530-698-7370

**No argument against this measure was submitted.**

**FULL TEXT OF MEASURE R  
CITY OF PLACENTIA**

**CHARTER AMENDMENT**

Section 600 of the Placentia City Charter is amended to read:

**Section 600. Number and Term.**

There shall be a City Council consisting of five members elected from the City at large, at the times and in the manner in this Charter provided. The term of office for members of the City Council shall be and who shall serve for a term of four years, or in the case of an appointment or election to an unexpired term of office, the term of office shall be equal to the unexpired term of office, and until their respective successors qualify. No person shall serve more than three (3) consecutive terms of office as a member of the City Council, including any portion of an unexpired term of office to which such person has been appointed or elected. The term limit provisions of this section shall apply prospectively only and shall apply only to terms of office commencing on or after the date this amendment to the City Charter was approved by the City's electorate.

The regular election of the City Council members shall be held on the first Tuesday following the first Monday, in November of each even-numbered year. The candidates receiving the highest number of votes shall be elected. The term of all members shall commence at the first regular Council meeting following the certification of the election results and each member shall serve until a successor is elected and qualified. Any ties in voting shall be settled by the casting of lots.

**IMPARTIAL ANALYSIS BY CITY ATTORNEY  
MEASURE R**

The current provisions of the City Charter do not place any limitation on the number of consecutive terms of office a person may serve as a member of City Council. This measure provides that no person shall serve more than three consecutive terms of office as a member of the City Council and any unexpired term of office to which the person was appointed or elected would will count towards the three term limitation. The measure would be applied prospectively and only terms of office which commence on or after the electorate approves this measure would be counted towards the limitation.

s/ Thomas F. Nixon  
City Attorney

**ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE R**

This measure places term limits on the members of Placentia's City Council. If passed, this measure will require each Council member after serving three consecutive terms to step aside and let someone else have a chance to serve our community, bringing new ideas and fresh enthusiasm to City Hall.

Competitive elections are necessary for our representative democracy. We do not have that today. As political scientist Mark Petracca observes, "Electoral competition is no longer possible in a system where the benefits and power of incumbency virtually guarantee a lifelong career as a legislator."

Term limits promote better government through broader citizen participation in the electoral process. They prevent any single politician or group of politicians from obtaining excessive power. Term limits promote a level playing field for all candidates. Term limits reduce barriers to entry that discourage challengers and thus limit ballot options. Term limits will enhance your choices by making elections more competitive and encouraging more candidates to run for office.

We strongly encourage you to vote YES on Measure R and enact Term Limits for our City Council.

s/ Constance Underhill  
Mayor Pro Tem

s/ Norman Z. Eckenrode  
Councilmember

s/ Scott P. Brady  
Councilmember

s/ George F. Ziegler  
Charter Review Committee Member

s/ Judy Ann Dickinson  
Councilmember

**No argument against this measure was submitted.**

**FULL TEXT OF MEASURE S  
CITY OF PLACENTIA**

**CHARTER AMENDMENT**

Section 602 of the Placentia City Charter is amended to read:

**Section 602. Compensation.** The Mayor and Members of the City Council shall receive one hundred fifty dollars (\$150.00) per month, as compensation for their services. The monthly compensation shall be increased by \$100.00 on January 1 of each of three consecutive years commencing on January 1, 2003, the total of which shall then constitute the maximum compensation for the Mayor and Members of the City Council. In addition thereto, the Mayor and Members of the City Council shall receive reimbursement for necessary travel and expenses when on official duty on order of the City Council.

**IMPARTIAL ANALYSIS BY CITY ATTORNEY  
MEASURE S**

The current provisions of the City Charter provide that the Mayor and each member of the City Council shall receive \$150 per month as compensation for services to the City. The amount of compensation to be paid the Mayor and members of the City Council was last changed in 1980. This measure would provide that the monthly compensation to be paid to the Mayor and the members of the City Council would be changed over a three year period by providing a \$100 per month increase on January 1, 2003, January 1, 2004, and January 1, 2005 so that effective on January 1, 2005, the compensation to be paid the Mayor and each member of the City Council would be \$450 per month.

s/ Thomas F. Nixon  
City Attorney

**ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE S**

The City Council has not received a cost-of-living increase for over 20 years.

The last time the Council received a pay increase was 1980. Inflation has gone up by at least 70% since then. The workload for our Council has increased over the years but the pay has not matched that extra work.

Council members put in long hours every week in service to our City and our residents, serving the public at all hours of the day. Besides Council meetings, subcommittee sessions, and regional board and commission meetings, our Council members attend many other evening and weekend meetings and public events. They also spend many hours consulting with citizens and community groups on numerous neighborhood and City concerns.

The Council regularly makes important policy and budget decisions in such areas as police services, street and sewer repair, traffic, neighborhood preservation, parks and recreation, and planning and land use. These decisions require thorough research, wide public input, careful deliberation, and lots of time.

Voting for a pay increase will allow a greater diversity of people to afford to hold public office. Without a pay increase, people who need to support their families may not be able to afford to serve on the Council due to the commitment of long hours necessary to do the job properly. Without a pay increase people with child care or disability assistance needs will be hard-pressed to take on the extensive Council duties.

We should take pride in our elected representatives and provide them with a fair level of compensation for the contributions they make to our City and our residents. Vote Yes on Measure S.

s/ George F. Ziegler  
Charter Review Committee Member

s/ Lillian B. Escobosa  
Charter Review Committee Member

s/ Francine Bless  
Charter Review Committee Member

s/ Carol Fizzard  
Charter Review Committee Member

**No argument against this measure was submitted.**

**FULL TEXT OF MEASURE T  
CITY OF PLACENTIA**

**CHARTER AMENDMENT**

Section 604 of the Placentia City Charter is amended to read:

**Section 604. Presiding Officer.**

(a) MAYOR. ~~On the first Tuesday~~ At the meeting at which the City Council approves the certification of election results following any general or special municipal election at which ~~Councilmen~~ members of the City Council are elected, and at any time when there is a vacancy in the office of Mayor, the City Council shall meet and shall elect one of its members as its presiding officer, who shall have the title of Mayor. The Mayor shall have a voice and vote in all City Council proceedings. ~~He~~ The Mayor shall be the official head of the City for all purposes including ceremonial. ~~He~~ The Mayor shall perform such other duties as may be prescribed by this Charter or as may be imposed by the City Council consistent with ~~his~~ the office. The Mayor shall serve in such capacity at the pleasure of the City Council.

(b) MAYOR PRO TEMPORE. The City Council shall also designate one of its members as Mayor Pro Tempore who shall serve in such capacity at the pleasure of the City Council. The Mayor Pro Tempore shall perform the duties of the Mayor during ~~his~~ any absence or disability ~~of the Mayor~~.

**IMPARTIAL ANALYSIS BY CITY ATTORNEY  
MEASURE T**

The current provisions of the City Charter provide that the City Council is required to reorganize itself and elect one of its members as Mayor at the first Tuesday following a general or special election at which "Councilmen" are elected. Election results, however, are usually not available at that time, any members of the City Council elected at the election may not be seated by such date, and a vote by the City Council for Mayor may not include a member or members of the City Council elected at the applicable election. This measure would require the City Council to elect the Mayor at the meeting at which the City Council approves the certification of election results, thereby assuring that any member of the City Council elected at such election will be seated and able to participate in any vote for Mayor. This measure would also change the Charter reference in this section from "Councilmen" to "members of the City Council."

s/ Thomas F. Nixon  
City Attorney

**ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF MEASURE T**

This measure simply ensures that all newly elected members of the City Council will be able to vote for Mayor. Although this may seem like common sense, the timing for selection of a Mayor set forth in the City Charter may prevent newly elected Council members from voting for the Mayor for the first year after the Council members have been elected.

Our Charter requires the Council to elect a Mayor on the first Tuesday following the election for City Council. The election results, however, are generally not available at that time; it usually takes at least two to four weeks for the election results to be certified by the County and forwarded to the City. Thus, new members are not seated until after our Charter calls for the Mayor for the upcoming year to be elected.

This is not fair to the newly elected Council members and it is not fair to the electors who elected them. The Mayor should be elected by those members of the Council who will serve with the Mayor and not by any "lame duck" Council members who may be attending their last Council meeting.

Vote YES on Measure T and let our new Council members vote for Mayor.

s/ Chris A. Lowe  
Mayor

s/ Judy Ann Dickinson  
Councilmember

s/ Constance Underhill  
Mayor Pro Tem

s/ Norman Z. Eckenrode  
Councilmember

s/ Scott P. Brady  
Councilmember

**No argument against this measure was submitted.**



**FULL TEXT OF MEASURE U  
CITY OF PLACENTIA**

**CHARTER AMENDMENT**

Section 608 of the Placentia City Charter is amended to read:

**Section 608. Contracts on Public Works.**

Every contract involving an expenditure of more than ~~Five~~ Twenty-Five Thousand Dollars (\$~~5,000.00~~ 25,000.00) for the construction or improvement (excluding maintenance and repair) of public buildings, works, streets, drains, sewers, utilities, parks and playgrounds, and each separate purchase of materials or supplies for the same, where the expenditure required for such purchase shall exceed the sum of ~~Five~~ Twenty-Five Thousand Dollars (\$~~5,000.00~~ 25,000.00) shall be let either to the lowest responsible bidder after notice by publication in the official newspaper by two or more insertions, the first of which shall be at least ten days before the time of opening bids, or by best value processes, including but not limited to use of design-build and construction manager at risk approaches, adopted by the City Council by ordinance in cases where the City Council finds such processes will reduce project cost, expedite project completion, or provide unique design features.

The City Council may reject any and all bids presented and may re-advertise in its discretion. After rejecting bids, or if no bids are received, the City Council may declare and determine that, in its opinion, the work in question may be performed better or more economically by the City with its own employees, or that the materials or supplies may be purchased at a lower price in the open market, and after the adoption of a resolution to this effect by a majority vote of the entire membership of the City Council, it may proceed to have said work done or such material or supplies purchased in the manner stated, without further observance of the provision of this section.

When the City Council deems, by four affirmative votes, there is urgent necessity for the preservation of life, health or property, purchase of such materials or supplies and contracts for the construction or improvement of public buildings, works, streets, drains, sewers, utilities, parks and playgrounds may be let without advertising for bids. The City shall not be bound by the California Public Contracts Code except as expressly provided in this Charter or as expressly required by the City Council by ordinance.

**IMPARTIAL ANALYSIS BY CITY ATTORNEY  
MEASURE U**

Under the current provisions of the City's Charter, all contracts for public works in the amount of \$5,000 or more are required to be awarded to the lowest responsible bidder after advertising for bids. The Charter does not expressly recognize any other process for the City Council to award a public works contract, including the design-build process. This measure will increase the threshold amount from \$5,000 to \$25,000 for public works projects that are required to be awarded to the lowest responsible bidder after advertising for bids. This measure also allows the Council to use best value processes, including design-build and construction manager at risk approaches, for the award of public works contracts in excess of \$25,000 so long as the Council adopts an implementing ordinance allowing such processes and the Council finds that use of best value processes will reduce project cost, expedite project completion, or provide unique design features.

The current City's Charter also allows for the City Council, by a four-fifths vote, to purchase materials or supplies for emergency purposes without advertising for bids. This exception from the advertisement of bids requirement for emergency purposes does not expressly include public works contracts. The measure will clarify that the City Council may, by a four-fifths vote, approve contracts for the construction or improvement of public buildings, works, streets, drains, sewers, utilities, parks and playgrounds without advertising for bids for emergency purposes.

This measure also affirms the discretion of the City as a charter city to be bound by the California Public Contracts Code only to the extent such requirement is expressly provided in the Charter or as expressly required by the City Council by ordinance.

s/ Thomas F. Nixon  
City Attorney

**ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE U**

Measure U will allow the City to use alternate contract bidding procedures proven to be successful and cost efficient in the private sector.

The City Charter currently requires that public works contracts exceeding \$5,000 be bid through competitive bidding. This requires the City to contract with an architect to design the project. When the design is complete, the City invites general contractors to bid on the project. The contract is awarded to the "lowest responsible bidder." This method is traditional, tried, but not always true.

This method does not always give the City the best value and quality. This method is time consuming and generates change orders diminishing the value of the contract. Quality suffers because the City cannot consider a contractor's experience or reputation.

If this Measure is adopted, the City can still use competitive bidding for projects costing over \$25,000. It will not be the exclusive method. This Measure allows the City to formulate alternate competitive bidding processes, predicated on best value considerations, practiced with much success in the private sector, resulting in high quality projects at reasonable, budgeted costs. One best value alternative is the "design-build" process allowing the City to award a contract to a single company to design and build a project at a budgeted cost. The City could use an alternate process like design-build only if the City Council makes specific findings that use of a best value process will reduce project cost, expedite project completion, or provide unique design features.

The City needs flexibility in the award of public works contracts to secure the best value for our citizens. Vote YES on Measure U and maximize the use of your tax dollars.

s/ Constance Underhill  
Mayor Pro Tem

s/ Norman Z. Eckenrode  
Councilmember

s/ Scott P. Brady  
Councilmember

s/ George F. Ziegler  
Charter Review Committee Member

s/ Judy Ann Dickinson  
Councilmember

**No argument against this measure was submitted.**

**FULL TEXT OF MEASURE V  
CITY OF PLACENTIA**

**CHARTER AMENDMENT**

A. Section 620 of the Placentia City Charter is amended to read:

**Section 620. Ordinance. Violation. Penalty.** Except as otherwise designated by an ordinance adopted by the City Council, A violation of any ordinance of the City shall constitute a misdemeanor which and may be prosecuted in the name of the People of the State of California or may be redressed by civil action. The maximum fine or penalty for any violation of a City ordinance shall be the sum of ~~Five Hundred One Thousand Dollars (\$500.00)~~ (\$1,000.00), or a term of imprisonment for a period not exceeding ~~six months one year~~, or both such fine and imprisonment. Any person either convicted of a violation of any ordinance of the City, or, in a civil action determined to have violated any ordinance of the City, shall also be liable, and shall reimburse the City, for all costs incurred by the City in the investigation of the violation and enforcement of the City's ordinances.

B. Section 1401 of the Placentia City Charter is amended to read:

**Section 1401. Violations.** The violation of any provision of this Charter shall be deemed a misdemeanor and be punishable upon conviction by a fine of not exceeding ~~Five Hundred (\$500.00) One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000.00)~~, or by imprisonment for a term of not exceeding ~~six months one year~~, or by both such fine and imprisonment. Any person convicted of a violation of any provision of this Charter, or, in a civil action determined to have violated any provision of this Charter, shall also be liable, and shall reimburse the City, for all costs incurred by the City in the investigation of the violation and enforcement of the City Charter.

**IMPARTIAL ANALYSIS BY CITY ATTORNEY  
MEASURE V**

The current provisions of the City Charter provide that any violation of the City Charter or any ordinance of the City is a misdemeanor offense which may be punished by a fine of not more than \$500 or by imprisonment for a term not exceeding 6 months, or both. This measure provides that any conviction for a misdemeanor violation of the City Charter or a City ordinance could be punished by a fine of not more than \$1,000, or imprisonment for a term not exceeding 1 year, or both. This measure is consistent with state law that provides all cities with the power to make violations of their laws punishable by a \$1,000 fine or one year imprisonment, or both.

This measure would also allow the Council to make a violation of City ordinances an offense lesser than a misdemeanor.

The current provisions of the City Charter do not expressly provide the City with the authority to require reimbursement for the City's costs in the investigation and enforcement of the City Charter and City ordinances. This measure expressly provides that any person convicted of a violation of the Charter or a City ordinance, or otherwise determined in a civil action to have committed a violation of the Charter or a City ordinance, shall be liable for reimbursing the City for all costs incurred by the City in the investigation and enforcement of the City Charter or City ordinance violation.

s/ Thomas F. Nixon  
City Attorney

**ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE V**

The City of Placentia is a beautiful City with attractive and well-kept neighborhoods, parks, and shopping centers. We believe that this is due in no small measure to our comprehensive set of City ordinances and laws that we try to uniformly enforce. If someone violates our laws, we believe we have an obligation to ensure that the violator is appropriately punished and that there is no chance that the violation will occur again. We simply want to make sure there is compliance with our Code.

California law allows us to establish a larger maximum fine or jail term for violation of our laws than our current Charter and Code provide. Please note that this measure only establishes maximum amounts. The City doesn't typically ask for the maximum on a first violation. It is only after a person has repeatedly violated our Code that the City tries to secure the maximum amounts. And the City does this solely for the purpose of securing Code compliance. If the person had complied with our Code after the first violation, there would be no need to ask for a larger amount.

California law allows us to provide for fines up to \$1,000 or jail terms up to one year or both for violations of our laws. Only a court can actually assess such fines or jail terms. We believe that our courts should have such discretion as a tool in securing compliance with our Code.

Vote YES on Measure V.

s/ Chris A. Lowe  
Mayor

s/ Judy Ann Dickinson  
Councilmember

s/ Constance Underhill  
Mayor Pro Tem

s/ Norman Z. Eckenrode  
Councilmember

s/ Scott P. Brady  
Councilmember

**No argument against this measure was submitted.**

**FULL TEXT OF MEASURE W  
CITY OF PLACENTIA**

**CHARTER AMENDMENT**

Section 902 of the Placentia City Charter is amended to read:

**Section 902. Appointment. Term.** The members of such Boards or Commissions shall be appointed by the City Council. They shall be subject to removal by motion of the City Council adopted by at least three affirmative votes. The members thereof shall serve for a term of four years, unless a lesser term is provided by a resolution adopted by the City Council, and until their respective successors are appointed and qualified. The members first appointed to such Boards and Commissions shall so classify themselves by lot that the term of one of each of their number shall expire each succeeding July 1<sup>st</sup>. Where the total number of the members of a Board or Commission to be appointed exceeds four, and is less than eight, no more than two terms of office shall expire in any one year, and the classification by lot shall provide for the pairing of terms to such an extent as is necessary in order that the terms of at least one and not more than two shall expire in each succeeding year. Where the total number of members of a Board or Commission to be appointed exceeds eight, no more than three terms of office shall expire in any one year, and the classification by lot shall provide for the matching of terms to such an extent as is necessary in order that the terms of at least two and not more than three shall expire in each succeeding year. ~~Thereafter,~~ Any appointment to fill an unexpired term shall be for such unexpired period. The members of such Boards or Commissions shall be residents of the City.

**IMPARTIAL ANALYSIS BY CITY ATTORNEY  
MEASURE W**

The current provisions of the City Charter provide that terms of office of members of the City's boards and commissions are four years unless a lesser term is specified by the Council by resolution and until their respective successors are appointed and qualified. The Charter also provides that the terms of office shall be staggered; that is, each year at least one but not more than two terms of office of members of any board or commission shall expire in any one year. The Council has formed and desires to maintain boards and commissions that have as many as nine (9) members; thus, maintenance of the four year term of office restriction requires that as many as three terms of office for any board or commission expire in any one year. This measure is essentially a housekeeping, non-substantive revision that provides for staggered terms of office and ensures that at least one and no more than two terms of office expire each year for each board or commission with 8 or fewer members and that at least two and no more than three terms of office expire each year for any board or commission with more than 8 members.

The current provisions of the Charter do not include any express requirement that members of the City's boards and commissions be residents of the City. This amendment to the Charter requires that members of the City's boards and commissions shall be residents of the City.

s/ Thomas F. Nixon  
City Attorney

**ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE W**

This Measure does two things. First, it ensures that terms of office of the City's boards and commissions are staggered. Second, it requires that all members of our boards and commissions must be residents of the City.

This measure will make sure that the members of all of our boards and commissions will serve staggered terms and that there will not be a large change in the membership of any board or commission at any one time. This will provide continuity to each board and commission, ensuring that experienced members will remain while new members are provided the opportunity to serve our community.

It is this concept of service to community that also forms the reason for the other component of this Measure: All members of our boards and commissions should be residents of the City. It is our residents who foot the bill for our government; thus, only residents should participate in the various processes that determine how our City's dollars will be spent. City Hall is your government; it serves you and makes the decisions as to what services the City should provide. Only those who receive our services and those who pay for the services should participate in our City's decision making processes.

We urge you to vote YES on Measure W and ensure open and fair representation on our boards and commission by Placentia residents.

s/ Chris A. Lowe  
Mayor

s/ Judy Ann Dickinson  
Councilmember

s/ Constance Underhill  
Mayor Pro Tem

s/ Norman Z. Eckenrode  
Councilmember

s/ Scott P. Brady  
Councilmember

**ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE W**

Traditionally, the City Treasurer and Clerk were elected to insure adequate and independent public oversight and checks on the professional staff/bureaucrats. The art of public administration is integrally connected with public trust, confidence, and satisfaction. The tendency is; the more power that is centralized in a single person, the less checks and balances there are. The current electoral process insures and maintains an appropriate level of accountability/checks and balances with our public servants. Therefore, it is our opinion that the City Treasurer and Clerk should be elected/accountable to the people. We urge your **No** vote on this proposition.

**CONCERNED CITIZENS OF PLACENTIA**

s/ John Walcek

s/ Bill Zavala

s/ Jim Gaffney

s/ John D. Penner



**FULL TEXT OF MEASURE X  
CITY OF PLACENTIA**

**CHARTER AMENDMENT**

Section 1300 of the Placentia City Charter is amended to read:

**Section 1300. Granting of Franchises.**

The City Council is empowered to grant by ordinance a franchise to any person, firm or corporation, whether operating under an existing franchise or not, to use the public streets, ways, alleys and places as the same now or may hereafter exist, for the construction and operation of plants, works, or equipment, necessary or convenient in connection with its transportation, communication, water, light, heat, power, refuse collection, storage, cable television, or any other public utility or service. The City Council may prescribe the terms and conditions of any such grant. It may also provide, by procedural ordinance, the method of procedure and additional terms and conditions for making such grants, subject to the provisions of this Charter.

**IMPARTIAL ANALYSIS BY CITY ATTORNEY  
MEASURE X**

The current provisions of the City Charter provide that the City Council is empowered to grant a franchise to use the public rights of way and other public places for transportation, communication, water, light, heat, power, refuse collection, storage, or any other public utility or service. This measure clarifies the City's existing practice that cable television is a public utility for which the City Council is empowered to grant a franchise to use public rights of way and public places.

s/ Thomas F. Nixon  
City Attorney

**ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE X**

This is simply a housekeeping measure that modifies our Charter to reflect the reality of cable television. The City already has the express power under our Charter to grant franchises to utility providers to place their facilities in public streets and alleys. Although we believe that cable television is a utility that is required to secure a franchise from the City and the City has in fact granted a franchise to a cable television provider, this amendment would expressly clarify that cable television is a public utility that requires a franchise from the City to place its facilities in our streets and alleys.

Vote YES on Measure X.

s/ Chris A. Lowe  
Mayor

s/ Judy Ann Dickinson  
Councilmember

s/ Constance Underhill  
Mayor Pro Tem

s/ Norman Z. Eckenrode  
Councilmember

s/ Scott P. Brady  
Councilmember

**No argument against this measure was submitted.**

**FULL TEXT OF MEASURE Y  
CITY OF PLACENTIA**

**CHARTER AMENDMENT**

A. Article VII of the Placentia City Charter is repealed:

**Article VII — Other Elected Officers**

**Section 700. Number and term.** In addition to the members of the City Council, the other elected officers of the City shall be the City Clerk and the City treasurer. They shall be elected from the city at large and at the times and in the manner in this Charter provided, and each shall serve for a term of four years and until his successor is elected and qualified:

The regular election of the City Clerk shall be held on the first Tuesday, following the first Monday, in November in even-numbered years at the same time and in conjunction with the regular election of two (2) City Councilmembers. The regular election for the City Treasurer shall be held at the same time and in conjunction with the regular election for three (3) City Councilmembers. The candidates receiving the highest number of votes for each of these offices shall be elected. The terms of the City Clerk and City Treasurer shall commence at the first regular Council meeting following certification of election results. Any ties in voting shall be settled by the casting of lots.

The City Clerk and City Treasurer shall be elected for a term of four (4) years:

**Section 701. Eligibility.** No person shall be eligible to hold the offices of the City Clerk or City Treasurer unless he be a qualified elector at the time of his nomination and shall have been a resident of the City for at least that minimum period provided for under State law for General law cities next preceding the date of his election or appointment:

**Section 702. Compensation.** The City Clerk shall receive one hundred and fifty dollars (\$150.00) per month for his services; and the City Treasurer shall receive fifty (\$50.00) per month compensation for his services; provided that they shall receive reimbursement for necessary travel and other expenses when on official duty on order of the City Council:

**Section 703. Vacancies.** A vacancy in the office of City Clerk or City Treasurer, from whatever cause arising, shall be filled by appointment by the City Council, such appointee to hold office until the first Tuesday following the next general municipal election and until his successor is elected and qualified. At the general municipal election following any vacancy, an officer shall be elected to serve for the remainder of the unexpired term:

If the City Clerk or the City Treasurer is convicted of a crime involving moral turpitude or ceases to be an elector of the City, his office shall become vacant and shall be so declared by the City Council:

In the event the City Council shall fail to fill a vacancy by appointment within thirty days after such office shall have become vacant, it shall forthwith cause an election to be held to fill such vacancy:

**Section 704. City Clerk. Powers and Duties.** The City Clerk shall have power and be required to:

(a) Attend all meetings of the City Council, unless excused, and record and maintain a full and true record of all of the proceedings of the City Council in books which shall bear appropriate titles and be devoted to such purposes. Such books shall have a general index sufficiently comprehensive to enable a person readily to ascertain matters contained therein;

(b) Maintain ordinances and resolution books into which shall be recorded all City ordinances and resolutions with the certificate of the Clerk annexed to each thereof stating the same to be the original or a correct copy, giving the number of said ordinance or resolution and, as to an ordinance or resolution requiring publication, stating that the same has been published or posed in accordance with this Charter or other applicable law;

(c) Be the custodian of the seal of the City; and

(d) Administer oaths or affirmations, take affidavits and depositions pertaining to the affairs and business of the City, and certify copies of official records:

**Section 705. City Treasurer. Powers and Duties.** The City Treasurer shall be the custodian of all public funds belonging to or under control of the City, or of any office, department or agency thereof:

B. Section 800 of the Placentia City Charter is amended to read:

**Section 800. Appointing authorities.** The City Council shall appoint and remove the City Administrator. A resolution adopted by three

affirmative votes at a regular meeting of the City Council shall be required to either appoint or remove the City Administrator.

The City Administrator shall appoint and remove all other non-elected City officers, including, but not limited to a city clerk and a finance officer/city treasurer; provided that the City Council shall be empowered to employ or contract for the professional services of the City Attorney, the City Auditor, the City Health Officer and other services as needed, and provided, further, that no appointment shall be made by the City Administrator unless the City Council has provided by ordinance for the establishment of the office or department to be directed by the appointee.

An elected official, during his term of office, shall neither hold any other position with the City nor be assigned duties in addition to those set forth for his elected office by this Charter, for which position or duties full or partial compensation is paid by the City.

No official, officer or employee appointed by the City Council shall be removed from his office or position with the City during the ninety (90) day period immediately subsequent to any municipal election of persons to the City Council.

C. Section 1211 of the Placentia City Charter is amended to read:

**Section 1211. Claims and demands. Presentation and payment.** All demands against the City shall be in writing and may be in the form of a bill, invoice, payroll, or formal demand. Each such demand shall be presented to the duly appointed finance officer within one hundred days after the last item of the account or claim accrued, and he shall examine the same. If the amount thereof is legally due and there remains on his books an unexhausted balance of an appropriation against which the same may be charged, he shall approve such demand and pay same out of the proper fund. Otherwise, he shall reject it. Objections of the duly appointed finance officer may be overruled by the City Council and the demand ordered paid.

The duly appointed finance officer shall transmit such demand, with his approval or rejection thereof endorsed thereon to the City Administrator. If a demand is one for an item included within an approved budget appropriation, it shall require the approval of the City Administrator before payment; otherwise it shall require the approval of the City Council, following the adoption of an amendment to the budget authorizing such payment. Any person dissatisfied with the refusal of the City Administrator to approve a demand, in whole or in part, may present the same to the City Council which, after examining into the matter, may approve or reject the demand in whole or in part.

Checks shall be paid out of the fund designated if there be sufficient money in the fund for that purpose. A check not paid for lack of funds shall be registered, and all registered checks shall be paid in the order of their registration when funds therefore are available.

The duly appointed finance officer of the City shall cause checks to be drawn for payment of municipal or other bonds, payable out of other funds in the treasury upon presentation and surrender of the proper bonds, upon approval of the City Treasurer.

**IMPARTIAL ANALYSIS BY CITY ATTORNEY  
MEASURE Y**

The current provisions of the City Charter provide that the offices of City Clerk and City Treasurer are elected officials of the City. The City Charter provides a general description of the powers and duties of the City Clerk and City Treasurer and also contains other various provisions applicable to the offices of City Clerk and City Treasurer due to the nature of the offices as elected offices. This measure would repeal these provisions.

The Charter also provides that the City Administrator generally appoints non-elected City officers. This measure would provide that the city clerk and finance officer/city treasurer would be officers of the City appointed by the City Administrator.

This measure would not become effective immediately; it will be phased in at the earlier of the time a vacancy occurs or the expiration of a term. If the measure passes, no future elections for the offices would be conducted. Thus, the changes provided in this measure will not affect the term of the person who presently holds the office of City Treasurer or the term of the person who may be elected to the office of City Clerk at the November, 2002 elections.

s/ Thomas F. Nixon  
City Attorney

### ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE Y

The laws and regulations affecting public finances, open meetings, and access to public records have greatly expanded since we adopted our first Charter in 1965. As a result, the City has hired full time and highly experienced professionals to perform the vast majority of the duties that could once be performed or managed by a part time City Clerk and a part time City Treasurer.

We need to face the reality that we have outgrown the need for a part time City Clerk and City Treasurer.

We have City staff members who are experienced professional clerks and financial managers. These dedicated City employees can and do perform the full range of duties of the City Clerk and the City Treasurer. A part time City Clerk and a part time City Treasurer no longer have meaningful roles to play in a lean, experienced, service oriented City government that serves a large urban community. These offices are luxuries we can no longer afford.

This measure asks our residents to change the way our City Clerk and City Treasurer are chosen and to ensure that the City Administrator develops comprehensive sets of qualifications for the jobs. The criteria for choosing who will manage City funds and public records should be straightforward qualifications and competence. These jobs require experts — not an election — and should be positions for which one has to qualify — not run.

We urge you to vote YES for Measure Y and help ensure accountability in the protection of our City's funds and records.

s/ Edmund M. Ponce  
Placentia City Clerk

### REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE Y

Placentias' motto is "The People Are the City." The wisdom of our forefathers never ceases to amaze us:

- An elected City Council to set policy
- An elected City Clerk to keep track of City meetings, documents, resolutions and laws.
- An elected Treasurer to be informed of what's actually being spent by the City.

No doubt, all our professional City employees are indeed highly competent and capable. What concerns us, with an appointed position is that diversity of thought and public input might be edited out on important matters involving new developments, edicts, laws, and finances.

We believe in an informed Citizenry. An Elected Treasurer and Clerk are a valuable asset in keeping the public informed with accurate, timely facts as to what's brewing at City Hall; two sets of additional citizen eyes and ears. This is an issue about information and accountability. Vote No on Measure Y, the measure to eliminate our elected positions.

#### **CONCERNED CITIZENS OF PLACENTIA**

s/ John Walcek

s/ Bill Zavala

s/ Jim Gaffney

s/ John D. Penner

### ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE Y

Traditionally, the City Treasurer and Clerk were elected to insure adequate and independent public oversight and checks on the professional staff/bureaucrats. The art of public administration is integrally connected with public trust, confidence, and satisfaction. The tendency is; the more power that is centralized in a single person, the less checks and balances there are. The current electoral process insures and maintains an appropriate level of accountability/checks and balances with our public servants. Therefore, it is our opinion that the City Treasurer and Clerk should be elected/accountable to the people. We urge your **No** vote on this proposition.

#### **CONCERNED CITIZENS OF PLACENTIA**

s/ John Walcek

s/ Bill Zavala

s/ Jim Gaffney

s/ John D. Penner

### REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE Y

Accountability is more than just an election every four years. An elected City Clerk and City Treasurer are really only subject to review by the electorate once every four years. That's just not good enough.

Accountability means that our administrative officials **MUST BE ACCOUNTABLE EVERY MINUTE OF EVERY SINGLE DAY!**

Under this measure the voters gain the assurance that the City Clerk and City Treasurer will be highly competent and capable professionals who will be required to have comprehensive qualifications and to perform their jobs to our high standards **EVERY SINGLE DAY**. If they don't, they can and will be let go. In a way, they will be subject to an election every day they are in office.

We want the best and the brightest to be entrusted to our service. We want our government officials to be accountable for their work and performance **EVERY SINGLE DAY** they are in office.

Vote "Yes" and make sure Placentia receives the professional and accountable public servants we deserve.

s/ Edmund M. Ponce, City Clerk

**FULL TEXT OF MEASURE Z  
CITY OF PLACENTIA**

**INITIATIVE ORDINANCE NO. 2002-01**

**AN INITIATIVE ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF PLACENTIA  
RESTORING THE UTILITY USER'S TAX TO ITS PREVIOUS RATE**

WHEREAS, by enactment of Ordinance No. 85-O-104, the City Council of the City of Placentia originally established the City's utility user's tax at the rate of 5% on charges for utility service;

WHEREAS, based on City general fund and budget considerations, the City has periodically adjusted the utility user's tax, with the last adjustment in August, 2000 reducing the utility user's tax to 3.5%;

WHEREAS, without the restoration of the utility user's tax to its original level of 5%, the City will have insufficient revenue to maintain the present level of municipal services to the residents of Placentia and would not be able to preserve the public health, safety, welfare and peace of Placentia and its residents;

WHEREAS, this ordinance is intended solely to raise revenue for the general governmental purposes of the City;

WHEREAS, the proceeds of the adjustment in the utility user's tax are to be placed in the City's general fund and used for the general governmental purposes and the usual current expenses of the City. The adjustment is urgently required for the following reasons: If the City does not adjust the utility user's tax rate, revenues to the City will be insufficient to maintain the present level of services to the citizens. The utility user's tax is the only tax that is under the City's exclusive control and cannot be reduced or taken away by the county, state or federal governments. The utility user's tax is equitable to all citizens of Placentia as every user of telephone, electricity, gas and cable television service will share in the utility user's tax.

NOW, THEREFORE, the People of the City of Placentia do ordain as follows:

Section 3.28.020(a) of the Placentia Municipal Code be amended to read:

**Section 3.28.020(a). Telephone user tax.**

(a) There is imposed a tax upon every person in the city, other than a telephone corporation, using ~~intrastate~~ telephone communication services in the city. The tax imposed by this section shall be at the rate of ~~three and one-half~~ five percent (~~3.5~~ 5%) of all charges made for such services and shall be paid by the person paying for such services.

Section 3.28.030(a) of the Placentia Municipal Code be amended to read:

**Section 3.28.030(a). Electricity user tax.**

(a) There is imposed a tax upon every person in the city using electrical energy in the city. The tax imposed by this section shall be the rate of ~~three and one-half~~ five percent (~~3.5~~ 5%) of the charges made for such energy and shall be paid by the person paying for such energy. "Charges," as used in this section, includes charges made for:

(1) Metered energy; and

(2) Minimum charges for services including customer charges, service charges, demand charges, standby charges, annual and monthly charges.

Section 3.28.040(a) of the Placentia Municipal Code be amended to read:

**Section 3.28.040(a). Gas user tax.**

(a) There is imposed a tax upon every person in the city using gas which is delivered through mains or pipes. The tax imposed by this section shall be at the rate of ~~three and one-half~~ five percent (~~3.5~~ 5%) of the charges made for such gas and shall be paid by the person paying for such gas. "Charges," as used in this section, includes charges made for:

(1) Metered gas; and

(2) Minimum charges for service including customer charges, services charges, demand charges, standby charges, annual and monthly charges.

Section 3.28.060 of the Placentia Municipal Code be amended to read:

**Section 3.28.060. Cable television user tax.**

There is imposed a tax upon every person in the city using cable television service. The tax imposed by this section shall be at the rate of ~~three and one-half~~ five percent (~~3.5~~ 5%) of the charges made for such service and shall be paid by the person paying for such service.

If any section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this article or any part thereof is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining

portion of this article or any part thereof. The people declare that they would have passed each section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

This Initiative Ordinance shall take effect ten (10) days following the date on which the City Council of the City of Placentia declares that this Initiative Ordinance was adopted by a majority of the voters voting on the measure at the special municipal election held on November 5, 2002.

**IMPARTIAL ANALYSIS BY CITY ATTORNEY  
MEASURE Z**

The City currently charges a utility user tax of 3.5% on the amount utility customers within the City pay for water, telephone, electricity, gas, and cable television services. That tax is collected by each utility as part of the regular utility bill. The rate of the tax has periodically been adjusted over the years in response to general fund and budget considerations. During the 1970s and as recently as 1985, the utility user tax rate was 5%. The revenue the City receives from the utility user tax is deposited in the City's General Fund, which pays for general City services and ongoing operations, including police services, parks, recreational programs, senior citizen programs, maintenance of City property and other municipal services.

Measure Z would increase the utility user tax rate from the current 3.5% to the previously established 5%. It is estimated the increase would raise approximately \$850,000 in revenue which would be used to fund general City services and ongoing operations, including police services, parks, recreational programs, senior citizen programs, maintenance of City property and other municipal services.

s/ Thomas F. Nixon  
City Attorney

### ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE Z

We don't like taxes. No one wants to raise taxes. But the cost of providing City services keeps rising. Although our costs are rising, Sacramento keeps "adjusting" and "shifting" tax revenues away from cities to fund state programs. Our share of property and sales taxes keeps diminishing, although our costs continue increasing.

One tax the state can't touch is the utility user tax. Every penny of the utility user tax stays in Placentia and is used to fund services you expect and to maintain the City's quality of life and property values. It funds police services, our payments to the Orange County Fire Authority for fire protection services, youth and senior programs and park and street maintenance. It even funds our battles to eliminate train whistles.

The utility user tax was 5% as recently as 1985. It was 5% when it was first adopted and it was 5% all through the 1970s. Measure Z will restore the utility user tax to 5%. Adoption of Measure Z will raise approximately \$850,000 in additional revenue to be placed in the City's General Fund for City operations and governmental services. It is estimated it will only cost the average household \$3.00 per month. This is a small amount to pay to ensure the continuation of the high level of quality police, fire, parks and recreation programs, tree trimming, street repairs and other vital services that our residents enjoy.

The utility user tax is a fair tax because it is based on utility use and is not a property-based tax.

Help preserve the quality of life that makes Placentia a great place to live. Vote YES on Measure Z and keep your tax dollars at home in Placentia.

s/ Constance Underhill  
Mayor Pro Tem

s/ Norman Z. Eckenrode  
Councilmember

s/ Scott P. Brady  
Councilmember

s/ Judy Ann Dickinson  
Councilmember

s/ George F. Ziegler  
Charter Review Committee Member

**No rebuttal to the argument in favor of this measure was submitted.**

### ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE Z

Our utility tax was initiated for the explicit purpose of constructing a new City Hall complex, of which the bonds were paid off in 1999. There was no "Sunset Clause" for this tax, and, as usual, other things began to be funded by this tax.

Over the years the utility tax has been raised and lowered. It is our opinion that it is inappropriate to fund essential services (police, fire, etc.) from a utility tax. Say **No** to higher taxes!!!

#### **CONCERNED CITIZENS OF PLACENTIA**

s/ John Walcek

s/ JBill Zavala

s/ JJim Gaffney

s/ JJohn D. Penner

### REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE Z

The Utility User Tax has been raised or lowered over the years – to meet the service needs and demands of our citizens. What better source of funding for our police, fire and other essential services is there? Property taxes, sales taxes, and vehicle licensing taxes are all subject to the whim of Sacramento. Placentia's share of these taxes has been whittled away to pay for state programs and state deficits. Our hotel room tax revenues are small - the City has not sold its financial soul to developers of high rise hotels for a few room tax dollars. The utility user tax, however, is controlled by our residents and every cent goes to fund our local services.

The funds needed to pay for police, fire and other essential services do not grow on trees. We pay for these services through taxes. We believe that the best way to ensure that the City is able to provide essential services is through the utility user tax, a tax that we can control.

The proposed increase from 3.5% to 5% will cost an average of \$3.00 a month per residence. That is a small price to pay for continued superior police, fire and other essential services. The utility user tax is our tax and under our control and it funds our needs.

Vote "Yes" to maintain the quality of our superior police, fire and other essential services.

s/ Constance Underhill  
Mayor Pro Tem

s/ Norman Z. Eckenrode  
Councilmember

s/ Scott P. Brady  
Councilmember

s/ Judy Ann Dickinson  
Councilmember

s/ George F. Ziegler  
Charter Review Committee Member



**CITY OF PLACENTIA  
IMPARTIAL ANALYSIS BY CITY ATTORNEY  
MEASURE AA**

This measure is advisory only. This measure does not impose or increase any tax and it is not legally binding on the City Council of the City of Placentia. The question posed to the City's voters is whether a portion of the funds derived from any voter approved increase in the City's utility user tax should be used for increases to City employee, including police employee, compensation, including salary and/or benefits.

s/ Thomas F. Nixon  
City Attorney

**ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE AA**

The City services you receive and expect are dependent on City employees. If the City wants to be able to hire and retain the best, the brightest, and the most experienced people, then the City needs to provide our employees with a competitive wage and benefits package.

The fact is that the City is in competition with the other cities and agencies in Orange County for experienced police officers. If we don't want the police officers we hired and trained to leave and go to Anaheim or Santa Ana or somewhere else that pays more for police officers than Placentia, we have to pay our police officers an appropriate salary. These men and women are putting their lives on the line for our security and safety every day. We have a responsibility to ensure that they are being fairly compensated. If we don't, then we shouldn't be surprised when they leave.

Help us hire and keep the best and the brightest. Vote YES on Measure AA.

s/ Constance Underhill  
Mayor Pro Tem

s/ Norman Z. Eckenrode  
Councilmember

s/ Scott P. Brady  
Councilmember

s/ George F. Ziegler  
Charter Review Committee Member

s/ Judy Ann Dickinson  
Councilmember

**No argument against this measure was submitted.**

---

---

## SAVE TIME!

---

---

- Mark your choices in this Sample Ballot and take it to your polling place for reference.
- Your polling place location is shown on the back cover.
- If possible, vote in the mid-morning or mid-afternoon hours. This will help shorten lines during the evening rush.
- Polls are open from 7 a.m. to 8 p.m.

---

---

## THANK YOU POLL WORKERS

The Registrar of Voters and staff extend our appreciation to the many dedicated poll workers for their outstanding community service as they work at the polling places on Election Day.

## **YOUR HELP IS REQUESTED. WE NEED MORE COMMUNITY VOLUNTEERS.**

The Registrar of Voters is looking for volunteers to work as precinct officers for upcoming elections. If you are interested in serving as a precinct officer, please call (714) 567-7580 for further details.

# ***FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS ABOUT ABSENTEE AND PROVISIONAL VOTING***

- **Who may vote an absentee ballot?** Any registered voter in Orange County may apply for and vote an absentee ballot.
- **How can I obtain an absentee ballot?** You must make a request in writing. Use the postcard application on the back cover of this sample ballot pamphlet or send a card or letter requesting an absentee ballot to the Registrar of Voters office. The mailing address is:  

**Registrar of Voters  
PO Box 11298  
Santa Ana, CA 92711**
- You may also fax your request to: (714) 567-7556. **You cannot make a request by telephone.**
- **When can I apply for an absentee ballot?** You may apply up to 60 days prior to the election, however, the absentee ballots are not available until the 29th day prior to the election. You may apply for and pick up an absentee ballot at the Registrar of Voters office beginning on October 7, 2002 and ending at 8 p.m. on election night. Our address is:  

**Registrar of Voters  
1300 S. Grand Ave., Building C  
Santa Ana, CA 92705**
- **What information must be included in my application?** Include your printed name, your residence address, the address where the ballot should be mailed, the name of the election and your signature.
- **How do I vote my ballot?** Follow the directions enclosed with your absentee ballot. Be sure your signature and address are on the return envelope. Your ballot will not be counted if there is no signature on the return envelope.
- **When do I have to return my absentee ballot?** Absentee ballots sent to the Registrar of Voters office must be received before 8 p.m. on election night to be counted. If you are worried that your ballot may not arrive in time by mail, you may bring it to the Registrar of Voters office any time prior to 8 p.m. on election day. Or, you may return your absentee ballot to any polling place in Orange County up until 8 p.m. on election day.
- **What should I do if I cannot return my absentee ballot myself, and it is too late to send it in the mail?** You may authorize a relative (spouse, child, parent, grandparent, grandchild, brother, sister, or other person living in your household) to return your ballot. Be sure to complete the authorization information on the return envelope.
- **If I lose the ballot sent to me, can I get another one?** Yes. Call the Registrar of Voters office at (714) 567-7561 and ask that a second ballot be sent to you. Or, you may go to your regular polling place and vote a provisional ballot.
- **If I request an absentee ballot, can I change my mind and vote at my regular polling place?** Yes, but you must surrender your absentee ballot with the envelope to the poll worker before voting a regular ballot. If you are unable to surrender your absentee ballot, you may still cast an absentee provisional ballot at your polling place. However, that ballot will not be counted until it can be determined that you have not also voted by absentee ballot.
- **Can I apply for permanent absentee voter status?** Yes. Anyone can apply for permanent absentee voter status. When you are applying for your absentee ballot, simply check the box on the absentee ballot application found on the back cover of this sample ballot pamphlet and return it to the Registrar of Voters office. Please read the important information on the inside back cover before you apply.
- **Provisional Voting!** If your name does not appear on the list of voters at the polling place, you are entitled to vote a “provisional” ballot. You may be asked to show proof of your residence address. A provisional ballot is the same as a regular ballot. However, election officials are required to verify a voter’s registration before counting provisional ballots. If you are required to vote by provisional ballot, the poll workers at your polling place will be able to assist you.